Correlation Processing

An Example Application of:

- Lowpass Equivalent Signal
- DFT-Based Processing
- Decimation
MULTIPLE-PLATFORM LOCATION

\[ s(t) \]

\[ s(t - t_1)e^{j\omega_1 t} \]

\[ s(t - t_2)e^{j\omega_2 t} \]

\[ s(t - t_3)e^{j\omega_3 t} \]

Data Link

Data Link
TDOA/FDOA LOCATION

**FDOA**
Frequency-Difference-Of-Arrival

\[ \nu_{21} = \omega_2 - \omega_1 = \text{constant} \]

\[ \nu_{23} = \omega_2 - \omega_3 = \text{constant} \]

**TDOA**
Time-Difference-Of-Arrival

\[ \tau_{21} = t_2 - t_1 = \text{constant} \]

\[ \tau_{23} = t_2 - t_3 = \text{constant} \]

\[ s(t) = s(t-t_1)e^{j\omega_1 t} \]

\[ s(t-t_2)e^{j\omega_2 t} \]

\[ s(t-t_3)e^{j\omega_3 t} \]
**SIGNAL MODEL**

- Will Process Equivalent Lowpass signal, $BW = B$ Hz
  - Representing RF signal with RF BW = $B$ Hz
- Sampled at $Fs > B$ complex samples/sec
- **Collection Time** $T$ sec
- At each receiver:

$$X_{RF}(f)$$

$$X(f)$$

$$X^f_{LPE}(f)$$

$$\cos(\omega_1 t)$$

Diagram:
- BPF
- ADC
- Make LPE Signal
- Equalize
DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL

\[ s(t) \quad \text{Rx} \]

\[ s_r(t) = s(t - \tau(t)) \]

\[ \text{Tx} \quad \text{Propagation Time: } \tau(t) = \frac{R(t)}{c} \]

\[ R(t) = R_o + vt + (a/2)t^2 + \cdots \]

Use linear approximation – assumes small change in velocity over observation interval

For Real BP Signals

\[ s_r(t) = s(t - [R_o + vt]/c) = s([1 - v/c]t - \frac{R_o}{c}) \]

Time Scaling

Time Delay: \( \tau_d \)
**DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL (continued)**

**Analytic Signals Model**

\[ \tilde{s}(t) = E(t)e^{j[\omega_c t + \phi(t)]} \]

\[ \tilde{s}_r(t) = \tilde{s}([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d) \]

\[ = E([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d)e^{j\{\omega_c ([1-v/c]t-\tau_d) + \phi([1-v/c]t-\tau_d)\}} \]

Now what? Notice that \( v \ll c \) \( \Rightarrow \) \((1 - v/c) \approx 1\)

Say \( v = -300 \text{ m/s} \) (\(-670 \text{ mph}\)) then \( v/c = -300/3 \times 10^8 = -10^{-6} \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( (1 - v/c)=1.000001 \)

Now assume \( E(t) \) & \( \phi(t) \) vary slowly enough that\[
\begin{align*}
E([1 - v/c]t) &\approx E(t) \\
\phi([1 - v/c]t) &\approx \phi(t)
\end{align*}
\]

For the range of \( v \) of interest

**Called Narrowband Approximation**
Narrowband Analytic Signal Model

\[ \tilde{s}_r(t) = E(t - \tau_d) e^{j\{\omega_c t - \omega_c (v/c) t - \omega_c \tau_d + \phi(t - \tau_d)\}} \]

\[ = e^{-j\omega_c \tau_d} e^{-j\omega_c (v/c) t} e^{j\omega_c t} E(t - \tau_d) e^{j\phi(t - \tau_d)} \]

- Constants
  - \(\alpha = -\omega_c \tau_d\)
  - \(\omega_d = \omega_c v/c\)
  - Doppler Shift Term
  - Carrier Term
  - Transmitted Signal’s LPE Signal
  - Time-Shifted by \(\tau_d\)

Narrowband Lowpass Equivalent Signal Model

\[ \hat{s}_r(t) = e^{j\alpha} e^{-j\omega_d t} \hat{s}(t - \tau_d) \]

This is the signal that actually gets processed digitally.
**ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY**

Consider C-T view first for simplicity, then switch to D-T (Note: all signals are LPE signals, but we don’t use “hat”)

**Problem:** Given LPE \( s_1(t) = s(t) \) \& \( s_2(t) = e^{j\alpha} e^{-j\omega_d t} s(t - \tau_d) \) for \( t \in [0,T] \), compute an estimate of delay \( \tau_d \) and doppler \( \omega_d \).

**Motivation:** Think about vectors in \( R^2 \):

![Diagram of vectors](image)

To measure \( \theta_d \): Let \( V_2(\theta) \) be \( V_2 \) rotated clockwise by \( \theta \)… and for each \( \theta \) compute \( \langle V_1, V_2(\theta) \rangle = A(\theta) \) as a function of \( \theta \).

Note: \( A(\theta) \) has a maximum at \( \theta = \theta_d \), so we measure \( \theta_d \) by finding the peak of \( A(\theta) \).
ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY (cont.)

Do the same thing with signals: Let $s_{\omega,\tau}(t) = e^{j\omega t} s_2(t + \tau)$

$$A(\omega, \tau) = \langle s_1(t), s_{\omega,\tau}(t) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} s_1(t) s_{\omega,\tau}(t) \, dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} s_1(t) s_2(t + \tau) e^{-j\omega t} \, dt$$

We know from the inner product view that $|A(\omega,\tau)|$ has a maximum at $\omega = \omega_d$ and $\tau = \tau_d$. Also note:

$$|A(\omega, \tau)| = \left| \int_{0}^{T} s(t) s(t - \tau_d + \tau) e^{-j(\omega - \omega_d)t} \, dt \right|$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad |A(\omega_d, \tau_d)| = \int_{0}^{T} |s(t)|^2 \, dt = E_s$$

Signal Energy
ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY (cont.)

\[ s_1(t) \]

\[ s_2(t) \]

LPE Rx Signals At Two Receivers

\[ = e^{j\alpha} e^{j\omega_d t} s_1(t - \tau_d) \]

“Compare” Signals For all Delays & Dopplers

Find Peak

\[ \omega \]

\[ \tau \]

Delay

Doppler

\[ \tau_d \]

\[ \omega_d \]

\[ \omega \]

\[ \tau \]

\[ \tau_d \]

Ambiguity Function

Note: For notational purposes we will often use \( s(t) = s_1(t) \)
ESTIMATING DOPPLER & DELAY (cont.)

• Consider when \( \tau = \tau_d \)

\[
|A(\omega, \tau_d)| = \left| \int_0^T |s(t)|^2 e^{j\omega t} e^{-j\omega \tau_d} dt \right|
\]

like windowed FT of sinusoid where window is \( |s(t)|^2 \)

width \( \sim 1/T \)

• Consider when \( \omega = \omega_d \)

\[
|A(\omega_d, \tau)| = \left| \int_0^T s(t) s(t - \tau_d + \tau) dt \right|
\]

correlation

width \( \sim 1/BW \)
COMPUTING THE AMBIGUITY FUNCTION

Obviously we can only compute $|A(\omega,\tau)|$ for discrete values of $\omega$ and $\tau$:

Need to know a priori values for:

- Max/Min Doppler (from largest expected velocity difference)
- Max/Min Delay (from largest expected range difference)
- Delay Spacing (from expected/measured signal BW)
- Doppler Spacing (from observation time $T$)

Recall:

$$A(\omega, \tau) = \int_{0}^{T} s_1(t) s_2(t+\tau) e^{-j\omega t} \, dt$$

View as a FT $\Rightarrow$ Implies Use of DFT

Define “lag-product” signal:

$$f_\tau(t) = s_1(t) s_2(t+\tau)$$

Thus, for each delay $\tau_m$ of interest:

$$A(\omega, \tau_m) = F\{f_{\tau_m}(t)\}$$
Computing the Ambiguity Function (cont.)

For corresponding D-T signals we need:

\[ f_m[n] = s_1[n] s_2[n + m] \]

\( m = \text{delay index} \)
Sampling Interval sets Delay Spacing

\[ |A(\omega_d, \tau)| \]

Sampling rate chosen to match signal BW according to Nyquist
Interpolate to get desired delay spacing
Recall C-T result: For each delay $\tau_m$:

$$A(\omega, \tau_m) = F\{f_{\tau_m}(t)\}$$

Thus for D-T: For each delay index $m$:

$$A(k, m) = \text{DFT}\{f_m(n)\} = \text{DFT}\{s_1[n]s_2[n + m]\}$$

So, computing the ambiguity function for $M$ delay indices is nothing more than doing $M N$-pt. DFTs, one for each delay index.
### Computing the Ambiguity Function (cont.)

Each Column = Lag Product $s_1(n)s_2^*(n+m)$ for an $m$ value

#### Each Column = Ambiguity Function $A(k,m)$ for an $m$ value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m = 3$</th>
<th>$m = 2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[0] \times 0$</td>
<td>$s_1[0] \times 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[1] \times 0$</td>
<td>$s_1[1] \times 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[2] \times 0$</td>
<td>$s_1[2] \times 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[3] s_2[0]$</td>
<td>$s_1[3] s_2[0]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[N-3] s_2[N-6]$</td>
<td>$s_1[N-3] s_2[N-6]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[N-2] s_2[N-5]$</td>
<td>$s_1[N-2] s_2[N-5]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[N-1] s_2[N-4]$</td>
<td>$s_1[N-1] s_2[N-4]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m = 1$</th>
<th>$m = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[0] \times 0$</td>
<td>$s_1[0] \times 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[N-3] s_2[N-3]$</td>
<td>$s_1[N-3] s_2[N-3]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[N-2] s_2[N-2]$</td>
<td>$s_1[N-2] s_2[N-2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1[N-1] s_2[N-1]$</td>
<td>$s_1[N-1] s_2[N-1]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m = 3$</th>
<th>$m = 2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A[0,-3]$</td>
<td>$A[0,-2]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m = 1$</th>
<th>$m = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A[0,-1]$</td>
<td>$A[0,0]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A[1,-1]$</td>
<td>$A[1,0]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A[N-1,-1]$</td>
<td>$A[N-1,0]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m = 1$</th>
<th>$m = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A[0,1]$</td>
<td>$A[0,0]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A[1,1]$</td>
<td>$A[1,0]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A[N-1,1]$</td>
<td>$A[N-1,0]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m = 2$</th>
<th>$m = 1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A[0,2]$</td>
<td>$A[0,1]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A[1,2]$</td>
<td>$A[1,1]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMPUTING THE AMBIGUITY FUNCTION (cont.)

Problems

1. We end up computing as many doppler bins as there are signal samples – this gives too many doppler bins

2. This usually requires a VERY large FFT

3. The range of doppler bins is from \(-Fs/2\) to \(Fs/2\), which is a far wider range than necessary.

4. The doppler spacing is too coarse: could fix with zero padding, but the FFT is already TOO long
Example: Signal RF BW = 2 MHz; Center Freq = 10 GHz; 
\( F_s = 2.4 \) MHz; Collect for \( T = 0.1 \) sec; Max Velocity, \( v = 300 \) m/s

- DFT Frequency Range = \(-F_s/2\) to \(F_s/2\) = \([-2.4/2, 2.4/2]\) MHz
  
  \[\text{Freq Range} = [-1.2, 1.2] \text{ MHz}\]

- \( |f_d| = f_c(\frac{|v|}{c}) \leq 10^{10} \times 300/(3 \times 10^8) = 10 \text{ kHz} \)
  
  \[\text{max doppler} = \pm 10 \text{ kHz} \quad (\text{Less Than 1\% of Freq Range!!!})\]

- \( N = F_s T = (2.4 \text{ MSPS})(.1 \text{ sec}) = 240,000 \) samples
  
  \[\# \text{ Samples} = 240,000 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{FFT size} = 2^{18} = 262,144\]

- DFT Spacing = \( F_s / \text{FFT Size} = 2.4 \times 10^6 / 262144\)
  
  \[\text{doppler bin spacing} = 9.2 \text{ Hz} \quad (\text{Need} \approx 1 \text{ Hz spacing})\]

  Could get that using zero-padding but that would make an 8\times longer FFT having 2,097,152 points !!!!!!!

  \[\frac{2.4 \times 10^6}{(8 \times 262144)} = 1.1 \text{ Hz}\]
Computing The Ambiguity Function (cont.)

Assuming Zero Doppler

\[ |A(f, \tau_d)| \]

\[ \approx 2/T = 20 \text{ Hz} \]

Doppler Spacing of 9.2 Hz is not sufficient
Need about 1 Hz spacing to get 5 DFT points near peak
The lag-product signal $f_m(n)$ is a near-sinusoid that is **WAY over-sampled** ➔ Decimate before DFT

Original Freq Range = $[-1.2, 1.2]$ MHz

max doppler = 10 kHz ➔ Digital Freq: $\pi(10\text{kHz}/1.2\text{MHz})$

➔ Doppler Range = $[-0.008\pi, 0.008\pi]$ rad/sample

So we could filter $f_m(n)$ to $[-0.01\pi, 0.01\pi]$ and then decimate by $M = 100$ (100 = $\pi/0.01\pi$)

New Rate: $F_s = 2.4\text{MHz}/100 = 24$ kHz
New # Samples after Decimating by 100: \( N = \frac{240,000}{100} = 2400 \)

\[ \text{New # Samples} = 2400 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{FFT size} = 2^{12} = 4096 \]

DFT Spacing = New \( F_s/\text{FFT Size} = 24 \times 10^3 / 4096 = 5.9 \)

\[ \Rightarrow \text{doppler bin spacing} = 5.9 \text{ Hz} \quad (\text{Still not 1 Hz!!}) \]

But...Now CAN correct using zero-padding

\[ \Rightarrow \text{zero-pad to 16384 point FFT:} \]

\[ \text{spacing} = 24 \times 10^3 / 16384 = 1.5 \text{ Hz} \]

Can live with that spacing
Could use standard design approach – but it is possible to use a very simple filter/decimation approach\(^1\). This approach gains efficiency at the cost of performance, but the performance has been found to be satisfactory in most cases.

A simple length-\(L\) FIR LPF is made by having all coefficients set to 1’s:

\[
h(n) = \begin{cases} 
1, & n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, L-1 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

The output is simply computed as the sum of \(L\) input samples:

\[
y(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(n - l)
\]

COMPUTING THE AMBIGUITY FUNCTION (cont.)

Now, for a given length \( L \), what is the frequency response of this filter? Well, that is nothing more than the DTFT of a \textbf{rectangular window of length} \( L \), which we have seen to be:

\[
H_L(\theta) = \frac{\sin(\theta L / 2)}{\sin(\theta / 2)}
\]

This has

- First nulls at \( \theta = \pm F_s / L \) Hz
- 3 dB points at \( \theta = (0.89/2) F_s / L \) Hz
- Stopband height at first lobe is –13.5 dB
- Stopband rolls off at –6 dB/octave

We’ll \textbf{pick} \( L = M = 100 \) to get a 3-dB passband to cover the doppler range: \( (0.89/2) \times 10^6 / 100 = 10.7 \) kHz

\[ M = \text{Dec Factor} \]
**Computing the Ambiguity Function** (cont.)

This looks like this for a length of $L = 100$

Shown over a very limited part of $[-1.2 \text{ MHz}, 1.2 \text{ MHz}]$
Computing the ambiguity function (cont.)

Zoom in to see passband better...

Frequency Response of “100 1’s” Filter

Passband Covers Doppler Range
Computation of the Ambiguity Function (cont.)

Now we decimate by factor $M = 100$ and what happens?

We get the part of the signal that goes through the stopband aliased into the passband (see Eq. (12.14) in the book). To get a rough idea of what impact this has we can look at the filter’s stopband response “aliased” back into the passband.

This corresponds to plotting the frequency shifted versions $H((\theta - 2\pi m)/M)$ for integer $m$ on top of $H(\theta)$ – again, see Eq. (12.14) in the book.

In Hz, these shifts are integer multiples of $F_s/M = 2.4 \text{ MHz}/100 = 24 \text{ kHz}$

When we do this we see that This Particular filter has a nice property that shifts the nulls to the center of the passband, thus minimizing the impact of aliasing, even though this filter is not really a very good lowpass filter!!
From this we see that in the middle of the doppler band we have very little impact from the aliasing, but at the edges of the doppler band there can be some severe aliasing. **Can we live with that?**

That is a design decision: we must trade computation vs. performance!!!
Now what impact does using this filter have on computing the ambiguity function? Recall how filtering is done for decimation: you move the filter coefficients ahead by M to get each decimated output. But for our case, the filter is length M so there is no overlap between consecutive placements of the coefficients:

For Illustration: Use $M = 4$


$\hat{x}[0]$  

$\hat{x}[1]$  

$\hat{x}[2]$  

$\hat{x}[3]$  

$\hat{x}[n] = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} x[nM + i]$
Computing the Ambiguity Function (cont.)

Without Decimation

\[ A(k, m) = \text{DFT}\{ f_m(n) \} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f_m(n) e^{j2\pi kn/N} \]

Example:
Computation per Delay Bin is:
- 2,097,152 point FFT

With Decimation

\[ A(k, m) \approx \text{DFT}\{ \hat{f}_m(n) \} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{(N/M)-1} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} f_m(nM + i) \right] e^{j2\pi kn/N} \]

Example:
Computation per Delay Bin is:
- \((N/M) M\)-pt sums:
  - 2400 100-pt sums
- 16,384 point FFT

Using Eq. (5.23) and (5.24) for FFT Counts

Without Decimation
For Each Delay Bin:
- # Real Multiplies = 79,691,780
- # Real Additions = 127,926,274

With Decimation
For Each Delay Bin:
- # Real Multiplies = 393,220
- # Real Additions = 1,135,362

Decimation Reduces Counts to < 1% of "No Dec" Values
COMPUTING THE AMBIGUITY FUNCTION (cont.)

- Form matrix w/ columns of lag products
- Break into M-pt subblocks along columns
- Sum elements in each subblock
- Take DFT of each column of subblock sums