#### ABSTRACT

### SPEECH FEATURE COMPUTATIONS FOR VISUAL SPEECH ARTICULATION TRAINING

Stefan Auberg Old Dominion University, 1996 Director: Dr. Stephen A. Zahorian

A new version of the Visual Speech Articulation Training Aid was implemented which provides real-time visual feedback for speech articulation training. This version was migrated to a Windows Multimedia PC from a previous version which used a Personal Computer (PC) system and additional specialized and expensive custom hardware. This new version will make the system more available to schools and private users. A new method was developed for computing spectral/temporal features which characterize the speech sounds. In addition to the typical frame-based spectral analysis parameters, the new method represents temporal changes of the spectrum with a small number of features. The flexible method implemented can easily be adapted to compute features for a wide range of speech processing tasks. Linguistically-based distinctive features were also investigated as candidate features for the visual display system. Some experimental results are given for vowels using the new system.

## SPEECH FEATURE COMPUTATION FOR VISUAL SPEECH ARTICULATION TRAINING

by

#### Stefan Auberg

A Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Old Dominion University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

> MASTER OF SCIENCE ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY April 1996

Approved by:

Stephen A. Zahorian (Director)

### TRADEMARKS

80386, 80486 and Pentium are registered trademarks of Intel Corporation.

Microsoft, MS-DOS, Windows NT, Windows 95 and Visual C/C++ are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation.

### DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my wonderful wife Aoi who supported me with all her love and patience.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Stephen A. Zahorian, for invaluable guidance and advice. During the last two years I could greatly expand my knowledge in the area of Automatic Speech Recognition through his expertise and support. This thesis would not have been possible without his patience, encouragement and availability.

I would also like to thank the additional members of the thesis advisory committee, Dr. Peter L. Silsbee and Dr. Oscar R. González, for their much appreciated time and assistance.

In addition I like to thank all my coworkers in the Speech Communications Lab for their help with knowledge, comments and suggestions.

This research was made possible by grant number NSF-BES-9411607 from the National Science Foundation.

iv

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES vii
LIST OF FIGURES viii
1. INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 Objectives 1
1.2 Software Implementations 2
1.2.1 WinBar 3
1.2.2 WinRec 5
1.2.3 WinPlay 6
1.2.4 Tfrontc 6
1.2.5 Neural 7
1.3 Overview of Following Chapters 7
2. MIGRATION TO WINDOWS
2.1 Overview of the TMS System
2.2 Limitation of the TMS System
2.3 Windows Multimedia and Sound Cards
2.4 Advantages of 32bit Windows 11
3. IMPROVED SIGNAL PROCESSING 13
3.1 Introduction 13
3.2 Goals
3.3 Signal Processing15
3.3.1 Frame Level Processing 18
3.3.2 Block Level Processing 29

3.3.3 Final Features 3	3
3.4 cp_Feat 3	;4
4. THE WinBar PROGRAM 3	;9
4.1 Introduction 3	;9
4.2 Real-time Signal Processing 4	ŧ 0
4.3 Artificial Neural Network Classifier 4	:6
4.4 Graphical Display 4	:9
4.5 WinBar Program Structure 4	:9
5. TRAINING OF THE WHOLE SYSTEM 5	64
5.1 Overview 5	64
5.2 Recording of Speech Files 5	64
5.3 Feature Computation with Tfrontc	5
5.4 Scaling of the Features5	6
5.5 Neural Network Training 5	6
5.6 Setting up WinBar 5	8
6. INVESTIGATION OF DISTINCTIVE FEATURES 6	51
6.1 Introduction 6	50
6.2 Acoustic Features 6	;3
6.3 Distinctive Features 6	;4
6.4 Experiments 6	;5
6.5 Results and Comparison 7	0'
6.6 Conclusion 7	2
7. ACHIEVEMENTS AND FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS	5
7.1 Achievements 7	4
7.2 Working not only with Vowels7	5
7.3 Other Display Types 7	7

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	1:	Ten American English Vowels	. 3
Table	2:	Neural Network Training Results	58
Table	3:	Experimental Set of 13 American English Vowels	63
Table	4:	The Representation of the Vowels with Distinctive	
F	eati	ures	64
Table	5:	Thirteen Features	66
Table	6:	An Independent Set of Six Features	68
Table	7:	Four Strictly Binary Features	68
Table	8:	Four Scrambled Binary Features	69
Table	9:	Six Modified Internal Features	72

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1:	Correct Pronunciation of /ee/	4
Figure	2:	Incorrect Pronunciation of /ee/	5
Figure	3:	Signal Processing Block Diagram	17
Figure	4:	Square Magnitude Spectrum	19
Figure	5: I	Morphological Smoothing of the Spectrum	21
Figure	6 <b>:</b>	Spectrum after Smoothing	22
Figure	7:	Original and Frequency Smoothed Spectrum	23
Figure	8:	Time Smoothing	25
Figure	9:	The Effect of Smoothing 2	26
Figure	10:	Basis Vectors over Frequency, Warping = 0	28
Figure	11:	Basis Vectors over Frequency, Warping = 0.45	29
Figure	12:	Basis Vectors over Time, Warping = 0	31
Figure	13:	Basis Vectors over Time, Warping = 5	32
Figure	14:	Blocks with Increasing Length	33
Figure	15:	ComputeLogSpectrum()	35
Figure	16:	TimeSmoothing()	36
Figure	17:	ComputeDCTCs()	37
Figure	18:	ComputeDCSs()	37
Figure	19:	Double-Buffering Scheme	42
Figure	20:	Sequential Buffers (A)	45
Figure	21:	Sequential Buffers (B)	46
Figure	22:	Structure of a Neuron	47

Figure	23:	MLP with One Hidden Layer	48
Figure	24:	WinBar Program Structure	53
Figure	25:	Training of the Whole System	60
Figure	26:	Evaluation Results over N	71

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Take this page out and adjust page numbers !

#### CHAPTER ONE

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Objectives

The main objective of this research was to develop a new and improved version of the Visual Speech Articulation Training Aid. The previous version (Correal, 1994) was limited by the need for expensive custom hardware and the use of very specialized signal processing for the classification of steady state vowels. The newly developed version is able to run on a "standard" Windows Multimedia Personal Computer without any specialized hardware. Furthermore, the signal processing routines incorporate a large amount of flexibility so they can process other types of phonemes. A new approach to compute temporal/spectral speech features was implemented. In addition, the performance of an artificial neural network using these temporal/spectral features as inputs was compared to different types of neural networks using various kinds of features as inputs. In particular, distinctive features were investigated as a possibility for a more basic phonetic-based training of hearing impaired or foreign speakers.

A large portion of the work, and the most obvious "product," was the development of software for the improved

signal processing algorithms and the incorporation of these routines into the new Windows based Visual Speech Articulation Training Aid. The next sections of Chapter One give an overview of the programs developed during this work.

#### 1.2 Software Implementation

From the user point of view, the newly implemented Visual Speech Articulation Training Aid system primarily consists of one executable program which displays the "correctness" of the pronunciation of ten American English vowels graphically on a computer screen. This program first computes temporal/spectral features from a speech signal in real-time. These features are used as inputs to an artificial neural network which classifies the speech signal using a measure of the correctness of the pronunciation for each of the vowels. The neural network outputs are then graphically displayed on the computer screen. These outputs thus inform the user if the intended vowel sound was pronounced correctly.

The neural network used for classification in the main routine mentioned above must first be trained to classify the phonemes correctly. This training phase requires a large number of speech samples, spoken correctly by native speakers. The recording and labeling of sample data is accomplished with a separate program. The neural network is trained with another program. Therefore, several support programs were also developed as described in the following sections.

#### 1.2.1 WinBar

WinBar is the main program of the Visual Speech Display under Windows. It provides visual feedback for the correctness of pronunciation of the ten monophthong English vowels in the English language, as listed in Table 1.

IPA	a	i	u	æ	g	I	ε	ດ	7	U
ARPABET	aa	iy	uw	ae	er	ih	eh	ao	ah	uh
ODU	ah	ee	ue	ae	ur	ih	eh	aw	uh	00
Word	bah	beet	blue	had	hurt	hid	head	law	shut	book

#### Table 1: Ten American English Vowels

The first row in the table gives the International Phonetic Alphabet notation for the vowels used for the visual speech display work. In the second row the ARPABET notation for these vowels is listed. The third row shows our in-house notation, which is used in this work at ODU. This "ODU" notation, which has been used in previous work with the Visual Training Aid, was selected for the user interface, since this notation corresponds to common usage patterns for these vowels. A typical word including the vowel is given in the last row.

The graphical display for Winbar shows a bar for each of the vowels with the height of each bar indicating the "amount" of each vowel sound in the vowel articulated. Thus a correctly articulated vowel will result in the corresponding bar reaching its maximum height and all other bars remaining at their minimum height. Incorrectly pronounced vowels will result in either multiple nonzero bars or the incorrect vowel bar activated. Figure 1 shows the screen of the WinBar program. This display was obtained when a male speaker correctly pronounced the vowel /ee/.



Figure 1: Correct Pronunciation of /ee/

Figure 2 shows the display of the WinBar program in the case of an incorrectly pronounced vowel. For this case, a male user wanted to say /ee/ (as in beet) but it sounded somewhat like /ih/ (as in hid), at least as judged by the automatic scoring of the computer algorithms.



Figure 2: Incorrect Pronunciation of /ee/

Instead of using expensive specialized hardware (for example a DSP board) the speech signal is recorded with a microphone and a standard sound card. The program performs the following three major steps in real-time: First, the signal processing routines compute the temporal/spectral features. Second, an Artificial Neural Network is used to classify these features. Third, the classification results are graphically displayed. In addition, by using the Windows standard, the user interface is very user friendly.

#### 1.2.2 WinRec

WinRec is another Windows Multimedia based program. It is used to gather the sample speech data needed to train the neural network which is used in the WinBar program. This flexible program prompts the user to speak a certain sound or word into the microphone. The program then scans the stream of incoming samples from the sound card and automatically begins recording to a file when the user begins speaking. Using a unique labeling procedure and a header for each file, a large number of these files can be created and easily organized for later use.

#### 1.2.3 WinPlay

This program is used to display and playback the content of the speech files recorded with the WinRec program. Since this program is also Windows based with a typical windows user interface, it is used to rapidly and easily check a large number of speech files for quality and correctness of the labeling.

#### 1.2.4 Tfrontc

The Tfrontc program uses the same code for computing features as does the WinBar program. However, the Tfrontc program is designed to work on speech data stored in previously recorded files, as opposed to real-time data. After the features are calculated with Tfrontc, a feature file is created for each vowel, containing all the examples collected for that vowel. These files are used as the inputs to the neural network training program Neural.

6

#### 1.2.5 Neural

The training of the neural network is done with the Neural program. It reads in the features for each vowel and uses these to train the network using the back propagation iterative procedure. The network parameters are stored in a weight file. This training, which requires a large amount of time, does not need to be done in real-time. The neural network in the WinBar program uses these values to classify the speech data.

#### 1.3 Overview of Following Chapters

This section gives an overview of the contents of the following chapters in this thesis.

Chapter Two describes the motivation and the benefits of migrating the Visual Speech Articulation Training Aid to Windows based applications. In addition, an overview of the older version of the Visual Speech Display is given and its limitations are discussed.

In Chapter Three the improved signal processing is described. This is first done from a signal processing point of view. The implementation of the signal processing with flexible routines is also explained. Even though the routines are currently used only to compute temporal/spectral features for vowel classification, they can be used without code changes for stop consonant classification and also to compute features for other speech processing tasks such as speaker verification.

Chapter Four contains more detailed information of the Windows based version of the bargraph display. This includes the

real-time use of the signal processing routines and more information about the artificial neural network used.

In Chapter Five the process of training the overall system is described. This includes gathering training data, computing features, scaling the features and finally the training of the neural network.

Chapter Six is The topic of an investigation of distinctive features versus temporal/spectral features. The performance of various kinds of neural network architectures and features are compared. One motivation for the work presented in this chapter is to justify using temporal/spectral features for vowel classification. In addition, the material in this chapter provides some new insights in the use of distinctive features and the internal representation of features inside a neural network. The use of distinctive features is also a possibility for a type of training display.

Chapter Seven closes this thesis with a short summary of the achievements of this work and a description of possible further improvements. Also the use of the signal processing routine with phonemes other than vowels are discussed.

8

### 2. MIGRATION TO WINDOWS

Take this page out and adjust page numbers !

### CHAPTER TWO

#### MIGRATION TO WINDOWS

#### 2.1 Overview of the TMS System

The previous version of the Visual Speech Display was implemented on a Personal Computer (PC) hosting a Digital Signal Processing board. This DSP board from Texas Instruments was based on the TMS320C25 floating point DSP chip. The microphone was connected using a custom built external amplifier to the DSP board. All signal processing and neural network computations were done on the DSP board. Using complex synchronization and data transfer routines, the results were sent to the PC. The PC was only required for the user interface and for the graphical displays. This system was successfully used for teaching and improving the pronunciation of vowels of hearing impaired children (Correal, 1994). Using virtually the same processing routines on the DSP board, a variety of displays were developed using different PC programs. These included a bargraph display, a two-dimensional ellipse display, and three games.

#### 2.2 Limitation of the TMS System

Although the performance of the system was good, there were several limitations. An important factor was the high cost of the specialized hardware of about \$1,000 (1994). This represented a big obstacle in making the system available to the average person. Another limitation was the complex development of the software. In particular, two separate programs were needed to create one application. The first program, which ran on the DSP board, was built using a specialized compiler from TI. The second program for the PC was created using a standard C compiler. However, great care was needed for reliable synchronization and data transfer routines, which enabled both programs to work together. In addition the memory model of MS-DOS and for the DSP board are totally different. This structure makes it extremely difficult to debug and to maintain the code. A third limitation was that for each PC program a great amount of programming time was required to create a friendly user interface.

#### 2.3 Windows Multimedia and Sound Cards

To overcome the limitations of the TMS system, the Windows environment was selected as the platform for a improved version of the Visual Speech Display. Windows has become the most popular operating system on Personal Computers. One design goal for Windows was to provide the user with a standard mouse controlled user interface, such that even unknown applications would look familiar. Using this interface standard, a large amount of development time can be saved for writing the user interface. But more importantly, the advancements in technology have increased the computation speed of PCs dramatically. Instead of using the computer power of a 386 PC and a DSP card with floating point chip together in parallel, a 486 or Pentium based PC is able to finish both tasks in the same time or faster. This factor allows the use of a commercial sound card as the speech input device.

Consisting mainly of an A/D and D/A converter and some secondary chips, the much simpler construction and the large number of sound cards sold result in a price about one-tenth that of a DSP board (about \$100 for a mainstream sound card (1996)). In addition, an external amplifier is not required since the sound card has a designated microphone input port and on-board amplifier. Using Windows Application Programming Interface (API) routines, a sound card can easily be controlled. Now all functions of a Visual Speech Display application can be combined into one program without the need to write specialized routines for synchronization or data transfer functions. Using sampled speech data from the sound card, all signal the processing, the classification with a neural network and the graphical display can be done in real-time from within this one program.

#### 2.4 Advantages of 32 bit Windows

The earlier versions of Windows required the MS-DOS operating system. This is a 16 bit real mode operating system with limitations on speed and memory management. The newer versions of Windows, that is Windows 95 and Windows NT, are 32 bit operating systems which operate in protected mode. The change from 16 bits to 32 bits has increased performance approximately twofold with all else equal. A linear memory model is also used, which removes array size limitations and other problems for the programmer. In addition, the 32 bit API allows easier program development. Newer systems are rapidly migrating to the 32 bit platform. For all of these reasons, 32 bit Windows was chosen for this work. To receive maximum benefits from both Windows multimedia and the advantages of a 32 bit operating system, Windows NT was chosen as the platform for the new Visual Speech Display.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> However, except for minor graphics problems like slightly wrong positioned buttons or labels in the recording program, all developed code will also operate using Windows 95.

# 3. IMPROVED SIGNAL PROCESSING

Take this page out and adjust page numbers !

#### CHAPTER THREE

#### IMPROVED SIGNAL PROCESSING

#### 3.1 Introduction

The migration of the Visual Speech Display to a Windows based System with integrated digital signal processing routines in one PC program allowed the implementation of much more powerful and flexible algorithms. The signal processing routines of the previous system were optimized to process only steady state vowels. In contrast, the new system developed in this study is able to process not only steady state vowels but also short, coarticulated vowels extracted from words and other phonemes such as stop consonants. The new signal processing routines are therefore much more flexible, even though the first stage of processing is based on the older system. In the first stage spectral features are computed based on individual frames of speech data. This processing is similar to the cepstral analysis computations in many automatic speech recognition systems. But, in addition, the new system incorporates a newlydeveloped second stage of signal processing. This second stage involves the computation of features which represent the time history of the spectra of the speech signal. These are called temporal/spectral features. These features were investigated in

several previous studies in our lab and found to be effective for improving classification rates for both vowels (Zahorian and Jagharghi, 1993; Nossair et al., 1995) and stops (Nossair and Zahorian, 1991; Correal, 1994).

#### 3.2 Goals

The main goal for developing a new set of processing routines was to make them flexible enough that they could be used for a broad range of applications by just adjusting a few parameters instead of writing similar but specialized routines for each problem. The first programs mentioned in Chapter One which used these signal processing routines were the WinBar and the Tfrontc programs. As described in section 1.2.1, the WinBar program uses the features computed by the signal processing routines as inputs to a neural network classifier. The outputs of the neural network are displayed as bars on the computer screen with each bar representing the classification result for one particular vowel.

The features for the training of the neural network are computed by the Tfrontc program. The feature computation is done by exactly the same routines as used in the WinBar program. The WinBar program works with steady state vowels. However, other phonemes like stop consonants are much harder to classify and require slightly different features. Another application which requires similar features, but with different optimizations, is speaker identification and verification (Rudasi, 1991 and Norton, et al., 1994). The signal processing routines developed in this project are able to handle all of these cases without any code changes. Instead, the details of the feature computation are adjustable by a number of important parameters which are saved in a convenient self-documented setup file. However, the most important accomplishment of the flexible signal processing routines implemented in this study was that they include the new ideas and refinements determined over the several years of research (for example Nossair and Zahorian, 1991; Nossair et al., 1995; Zahorian, Nossair, and Norton, 1993).

#### 3.3 Signal Processing

The goal of signal processing for speech recognition is to represent the characteristics of speech data with a small number of parameters called features. That is, the important properties of the signal are represented by the features. These important properties depend heavily on the particular problem. For speaker verification, the important property of a speech signal is information about the person who said these words. This has been shown to depend on spectral details (Rudasi, 1991). For speech recognition, speaker information is simply an added variability, since the primary goal is to compactly represent the phonetic information of the speech segment. These features have been shown to depend primarily on the global spectral shape (Zahorian and Jagharghi, 1993).

To extract this information from the time waveform of a speech signal a technique known as the short-time spectral analysis is used. To achieve high resolution in the spectrum, a

15

long segment of time domain data is needed. However, to capture signal changes over time, much shorter segments should be used. In typical short-time spectral analysis the signal is partitioned into short (typically 20 to 40ms) intervals called frames. For each frame the spectrum is computed. These frame lengths are long enough to obtain good spectral resolution for speech analysis. However, in some cases it is preferable to have better time resolution at the expense of frequency resolution. This can be done by using shorter frames (on the order of 5 to 10 ms) which overlap by a large amount. This leads to frames which are long enough to have moderate spectral resolution but spaced close enough together to enable good time resolution. All further spectral computations are based on these frames. These processing steps are called the frame level processing.

To also include the temporal properties, i.e., parameters which characterize how the frequency content changes from frame to frame, a number of frames are combined to blocks. In the block level processing the temporal properties of the block are extracted. Figure 3 shows a block diagram of the more detailed steps involved in the signal processing. These steps are explained in the following sections.



Figure 3: Signal Processing Block Diagram

#### 3.3.1 Frame Level Processing

In the first step of the frame level processing the sampled speech data is partitioned into a number of frames. Commonly the length of a frame is on the order of 20 ms for vowel recognition. Also, these can overlap (typically by 50% or less) to enhance the time resolution of the analysis. The next step of processing is to remove the DC level of each frame, since the DC level is caused by the recording system and carries no information. To minimize the effect of signal processing artifacts created by partitioning the signal into frames, the time waveform for each frame is multiplied by a Kaiser window. The Kaiser window has a single parameter which controls the shape ranging from a rectangular window to a very smoothly tapered function. For the case of vowel processing, the Kaiser window is usually adjusted to be very similar to the more common Hamming window (thus the Hamming window notation in Figure 3).

Next the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is computed for each frame. Since the length of a frame may be less than the desired FFT length, the frame is zero padded to match the FFT length if necessary. To increase the speed of the FFT computation an FFT routine specialized for real input signals is used (Sorenson, 1987). The FFT length, although usually 256 or 512 points, could also be chosen by setting a variable in the command file. Before the magnitude is computed from the appropriate real and imaginary terms, a lower and upper frequency bound is defined based on the selected frequency range from the command file. As explained below, since later steps might require a few additional values outside the final range of interest, the processing range is slightly larger than the desired range. To reduce computation time, the squared magnitude spectrum is only computed for frequency values within the processing range. Thus, after the steps described in this paragraph, a frame consists of the squared magnitude spectrum for the corresponding speech data.

Figure 4 illustrates in stylized form a squared magnitude spectrum for a single frame. In this figure the processing range and the desired range are shown. A few additional values outside the processing range are also included, in order to show that not all values are processed.



#### Figure 4: Squared Magnitude Spectrum

The phase of the spectrum does not carry significant information about the speech and is therefore neglected. In addition the general shape of the magnitude spectrum is more relevant than spectral details. To emphasize the general shape, the squared magnitude spectrum is smoothed over frequency. This is achieved with a morphological smoothing window, explained as follows. The current frequency sample is called the window origin. The window contains additional samples previous to the origin and after the origin. The actual smoothing operation is done by finding the maximum sample amplitude over all samples included in the window. The result is stored in a new array at a position corresponding to the position of the window origin. The window origin is then moved to the next sample. In this way all samples of the desired range are smoothed. In Figure 5, the smoothing window includes the window origin,  $|X[j]|^2$ , one previous value,  $|X[j-1]|^2$ , and one following sample,  $|X[j+1]|^2$ . The smoothed value of the spectrum  $|Y[j]|^2$  is found as the maximum value of the samples included in the current window, i.e.,

$$|Y[j]|^{2} = \max_{j-1 \le l \le j+1} (|X[l]|^{2})$$

This smoothing is done over all samples in the desired range, i.e.,  $j \in$  desired range. Now it is also clear why the processing range includes a few more values than the desired range. This allows the correct smoothing of the first and last samples in the desired range. Note that the smoothed values,  $|Y[j]|^2$ , are stored in another array, since the smoothing procedure must process the original values  $|X[j]|^2$ .



Figure 5: Morphological Smoothing of the Spectrum

The use of this kind of smoothing results in a broadening of the peaks in the spectrum and in a reduction of the depth of valleys. Figure 6 illustrates the results of this smoothing process for the data from Figure 4. An important theoretical reason for using this type of filtering is that that spectral peaks, which are thought to be important perceptually are emphasized, whereas spectral valleys, which are not thought to carry much speech information, are de-emphasized. Furthermore, from a signal processing point of view, the morphological filtering removes noisy spectral dips between pitch harmonics in the spectrum, prior to the log scaling step, as discussed next.



#### Figure 6: Spectrum after Smoothing

Figure 7 shows two graphs. The lower curve is the log scaled spectrum of an actual frame of speech data. This spectrum contains many details. As mentioned above, these details are not considered to contain significant phonetic information. By using frequency smoothing the general shape of the spectrum is emphasized. This can be seen in the upper curve, where a symmetric smoothing window with a length of seven samples including the origin was used. The curves were separated such that they don't overlap. For that reason a constant term (one unit on the y axis) was added to the smoothed curve.



Figure 7: Original and Frequency Smoothed Spectrum

The log scaling compresses the dynamic range of the sample amplitudes. More importantly, however, the human auditory system has a logarithmic amplitude resolution. Since the human auditory system is presumably biologically optimized for speech processing, an increase in performance of the digital signal processing algorithms would be expected by modeling the human auditory system.

After the scaling step, each frame consists of log scaled, smoothed magnitude spectrum for that frame of speech. This processing is consistent with the well established idea that phonetic information is contained in the short time log magnitude spectrum. However, as mentioned previously, not only do the "instantaneous" values of the spectrum carry information, but also the pattern of spectral changes over time
is important. As for the spectrum itself, the general trend of these changes is more important than fine details. Therefore the spectral frames are smoothed over time using a morphological smoothing process similar to the one previously described for frequency smoothing within each frame. However, the smoothing is now applied to samples at the same frequency but over different frames. This is illustrated in Figure 8, where the morphological smoothing window origin is at the third frequency sample (k) of the fifth frame (j). The window contains frequency samples from three previous frames. Typically the smoothing over time is done with an asymmetric window. The result of the time smoothing of frame j at frequency index k is found in this example as:

$$\left| Z[k,j] \right|^2 = \max_{\substack{j=3 \le l \le j}} \left( \left| Y[k,l] \right|^2 \right)$$

More generally, the value of l covers all samples included in the smoothing window. The resulting values  $|Z[k,j]|^2$  are stored separately from the original values to ensure correct smoothing of all original values. The smoothing is also applied to all frequency samples of a frame. The process continues for all frames which are contained in the processing buffer (as explained in the next section.) Note that to better understand the speech processing described in this thesis, speech parameters should be viewed as a two dimensional time-frequency matrix. Each row in the matrix is a single time frame. Different rows are different times. After the steps described in this paragraph, each frame thus contains the time-smoothed result of the log-scaled frequency-smoothed squared magnitude spectrum.



## Figure 8: Time Smoothing

In Figure 9 the effect of the two smoothing operations is shown for some real speech data. The curve in "front" is the log scaled squared magnitude spectrum of a 30 ms frame of the vowel /ah/. The spectrum was computed with a 512 point FFT. Since no smoothing was applied to this data, the details of the spectrum can be seen. The second graph shows the spectrum of the same frame, after a symmetric smoothing window of width 150 Hz was used to smooth the spectrum over frequency. At a sampling frequency of 11.025 kHz, this corresponds to a window width of seven frequency samples. It can be seen that deep valleys are "filled" and peaks are broadened. The last graph shows the spectrum of the frame when smoothing over time is applied in addition to the frequency smoothing. For the time smoothing an asymmetric window including five previous frames was used.



Figure 9: The Effect of Smoothing

The next processing step after time smoothing is the computation of Discrete Cosine Transform Coefficients (DCTCs). These coefficients encode the general shape of the spectrum with a small number of values. To compute the DCTCs a discrete cosine transform is applied to each frame, using the equation below:

$$DCTC(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k) \phi(i,k)$$

The *i*-th DCTC is computed as the sum over all frequency samples X(k), in the desired frequency range k, multiplied by the basis vectors over frequency N(i,k). The basis vectors given in the equation, which, for the most straightforward case, are samples of integer multiples of a half-cycle of a cosine,

$$\phi(i,k) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi i (k+.5)}{N}\right)$$

are generally modified as discussed below.

Since only a small number of DCTCs are needed (typically 10 to 15), and also because of the frequency range limitations, the DCTCs were computed with the straightforward dot-product approach rather than a fast transform based method. However, to increase the speed of these calculations the required cosine basis vectors are precomputed.

An important theoretical issue that affects the DCTC calculations is that a non-linear scaling of the frequency axis improves the performance of the whole classification system significantly (for example, Nossair et al., 1995). This agrees again with biologically motivated considerations in that the human ear has a much higher frequency resolution at low frequencies than at higher frequencies. To take this non-linear scaling of the frequency axis into account, the frequency axis of the frame level spectrum should be "warped" before the DCTCs are computed. That is, the spectrum could be re-sampled such that samples are closer in frequency at low frequencies and farther apart in frequency at high frequencies. This approach, which is used in many current speech systems and in a the Visual Speech previous version of Display, is computationally inefficient and results in approximate matches to desired warping functions. Research in our lab has shown that a better approach is to incorporate the warping into the basis vectors (Rudasi, 1992). Since the basis vectors are precomputed, no additional computations are required to implement time frequency warping. Figure 10 and Figure 11 illustrate basis vectors computed without and with warping incorporated respectively. As can be seen in Figure 11 the warping causes the basis vectors to vary more rapidly and to have higher amplitude at lower frequencies than at higher frequencies. This results in effectively higher resolution of lower frequencies than for high frequencies. More details are given in (Rudasi, 1992) Wang et al., (1996).



Figure 10: Basis Vectors over Frequency, Warping = 0



Basis Vectors over Frequency, 0.45 warping

Figure 11: Basis Vectors over Frequency, Warping = 0.45

Except for several refinements such as the morphological filtering over frequency and method for accomplishing frequency warping, the processing in the previous version of the Visual Speech Display was similar to that described thus far for the new system. That is, the frame level DCTCs just described were used as the inputs to the neural network classifier used for the actual phonetic recognition. In addition to this much greater efficiency, accuracy, and flexibility incorporated into the frame level processing, a "block level" processing step was incorporated for the present study, as described in the next section of this thesis.

## 3.3.2 Block Level Processing

As mentioned previously, research (for example Zahorian and Jagharghi, 1993) has shown that the performance of phonetic classifiers can be improved dramatically if not only the DCTCs of the current frame are used but also the change of the DCTCs over time are used as features. Therefore a number of frames of DCTCs are combined to form a block. The goal of the block level processing is to represent the change of the DCTCs from frame to frame with a small number of values. As mentioned before only the general trend of the change is important for this analysis. This trend can be appropriately encoded using a Discrete Cosine Series (DCS) expansion. This calculation is performed using the exact same dot product approach previously described for the DCTC calculations:

$$DCS(i,j) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} DCTC(j,k) \Phi(i,k)$$

where the *i*-th DCS of DCTC *j* is computed as the sum of the DCTC *j*, over all frames *k* in the current block, multiplied by the basis vectors over time M(i,k). The length of the current block is denoted with *M*.

To save computation time, the required basis vectors are pre-computed similarly to the basis vectors for the DCTC computation. However, as described Nossair et al. (1995), time resolution should generally be non-uniform over the block. For the case of vowels, maximum resolution should occur near the center of the interval, with decreased resolution at the endpoints of the block. This non-uniform resolution can be done with a non-linear warping of the time axis before the DCSs are computed. Using a similar technique to that used for the frequency warping, the warping of the time axis is incorporated into the basis vector computations. The DCS terms, which are features which incorporate the time-warping effect, are thus efficiently and easily computed by a dot product of the history of a certain DCTC and the DCS basis vectors. Figure 12 and Figure 13 show the basis vectors over time without and with warping respectively. Figure 13, which depicts the basis vectors with warping, shows that the basis vectors vary more rapidly and are larger in the middle of the interval than at the endpoints. This results in an increased time resolution of the values in the center of a block and a decreased time resolution at both ends.

Basis Vectors over Time, no warping



Figure 12: Basis Vectors over Time, Warping = 0

Basis Vectors over Time, 5 warping



#### Figure 13: Basis Vectors over Time, Warping = 5

Yet another consideration taken into account in the development of the signal processing software is that, in certain cases, for example stop consonants, the speech signal changes very rapidly at the onset and then changes more slowly. Therefore, the block level processing was written to allow blocks with increasing length. The start of the first and shortest block is synchronized with the speech onset. The following blocks are of increasing length up to the desired maximum block length. This results in a very detailed representation of the speech onset compared to the normal resolution of the block processing. Figure 14 shows how frames are combined to form blocks of increasing length. The shaded frames contain the speech signal of interest, starting in frame five. As can be seen the block length is increased from one frame to the maximum block length of five frames. The rate of increase (labeled Block jump) equals two frames in this case. The same value is used to advance the blocks after the length of a block reaches the maximum block length. This can be seen for the last two blocks.



Figure 14: Blocks with Increasing Length

### 3.3.3 Final Features

The block level processing results in a set of DCS terms for each DCTC. However, for some phonetic classification applications (for example Zahorian and Jagharghi, 1993), better results are obtained with a subset of these terms. Therefore, another option was added such that only specific DCS terms are used. To save computation time, only these needed terms are actually computed. These DCS terms over the DCTCs are the final features representing the speech signal for each block. They are used as the inputs to a neural network classifier.

In the remaining section of this chapter, the actual software routines used to implement the signal processing just summarized are described. Some additional issues concerning efficient data management for real-time operation are also described. All of this code was developed using Microsoft Visual C/C++, Version 4.0, for Windows NT and Windows 95.

## 3.4 cp\_Feat

All the primary signal processing routines are contained in the cp Feat.c source file. Other routines, such as the routines to compute the basis vectors, are located in secondary source files. A very detailed description of the routines of cp Feat can be found in (Auberg, 1996). This section provides a relation between the signal processing steps and the implementation of the C routines. In the comments in the code and in the detailed help file (Auberg, 1996) the following notation is used. A segment is an array of time domain data. All computations are based on the data included in a segment. For real-time use, a segment denotes the non-overlapping (i.e., the "new") portion of the data obtained each time the program communicates with the sound card. A buffer is an internally used array of time domain data which is composed of one frame length of "old" data taken from the end of the previous segment and the current segment itself. A buffer therefore contains overlapping (the "old" frame length of data) and non-overlapping data (the current segment). A frame contains a small amount of data taken from the buffer. For each frame the FFT is computed. A frame can therefore contain time or frequency domain data. Finally, a block consists of a group of frames containing frequency domain data. For each block a set of the final temporal/spectral features is computed. This notation is also used in Chapter Four.

The frame level processing is partitioned into three routines. All block level processing is accomplished with one routine. The routines were designed to be called only once per segment. This meant that a certain amount of data management had to be included in the routines.

Figure 15 shows the signal processing steps which are included in the first C routine called ComputeLogSpectrum(). These steps are identical to the steps in the upper section of Figure 3.



### Figure 15: ComputeLogSpectrum()

There are only two differences between the routine and the steps in Figure 3. First, the partitioning of the speech signal into frames is handled here. Second, the routine computes the log scaled spectrum for all frames included in the current segment before the next processing steps take place. Therefore, this processing results in a group of frames containing log scaled spectra.

Some additional data management is also included in the ComputeLogSpectrum() routine. This data management enables the following routine to work continuously on a speech signal which is longer than the one segment given to the routine. However, a more detailed explanation is delayed until Chapter Four. To smooth these log scaled frame level spectra over time, they are passed to the time smoothing routine. The block diagram is shown in Figure 16.

For all frames in the segment

Time smoothing

#### Figure 16: TimeSmoothing()

After all frame level spectra have been smoothed over time, the DCTCs can be computed for each frame. The routine called ComputeDCTCs() uses a set of pre-computed basis vectors over frequency. Recall that these basis vectors incorporate the warping of the frequency axis if that option is selected. Figure 17 shows the signal processing steps implemented in the last frame level processing routine.



#### Figure 17: ComputeDCTCs()

The next processing routine incorporates all block level processing. First, a number of frames are combined to form blocks. The routine, called ComputeDCSs(), uses the pre-computed basis vectors over time, to compute the DCS terms. These basis vectors also incorporate the non-uniform resolution over the block, as discussed previously. After the DCS features for a given block are computed, the next block is processed. Note that the blocks may overlap and that the length of blocks can increase with time. Figure 18 shows the processing steps implemented in the ComputeDCSs() routine.



Figure 18: ComputeDCSs()

The next chapter describes how these routines are used in real-time. The WinBar program is used as an example of a typical program using real-time signal processing.

# 4. THE WinBar PROGRAM

Take this page out and adjust page numbers !

## CHAPTER FOUR

## THE WinBar PROGRAM

#### 4.1 Introduction

described the basics of the Chapter Three signal processing. Also the implementation of the signal processing ideas into signal processing routines was described. These routines are used in two different types of programs for the overall system. First, the routines are used to compute features from pre-recorded speech data. Thus this program runs off-line using data stored in computer files. These features are required to train the neural network classifier. Next, the same signal processing routines are used in a classification program. In this chapter, the WinBar program is used as an example. In WinBar, the features are computed from a unknown speech signal in real-time. These features are then "processed" by a trained neural network classifier and the classification results are displayed on the screen. To ensure consistency, the processing routines in both application must be the same. However, realtime operation requires some additional data management. The next section describes this additional data management.

## 4.2 Real-time Signal Processing

The main difference between real-time and off-line processing is that for the real-time case, it is not known what the speaker will say and when the sounds will be produced. Therefore a classification program such as the WinBar program needs to constantly 'listen' to the incoming signal to detect a possible start of an utterance. The basic approach to processing continuous data is a typical double-buffering scheme. That is, two arrays of the same length are utilized, as depicted in Figure 19. During the time the Collection Array is filling with sampled speech data, the data in the Process Array can be processed. In using the notation of Chapter Three, the Process Array is referred to as the current segment. When the Collection Array is filled, the roles of the two arrays are interchanged, so that the new data can be processed. This demonstrates the real-time requirement of the signal processing. That is, all calculations on the Process Array must be completed in the time required to fill the Collection Array, in order that no data is lost. For example, with a sampling rate of 11.025 kHz, and a segment lengths of 1103 points, all processing for the 1103 points must be completed in less than 100 ms, the time within which the next 1103 points would be collected.

Another important point for processing continuous data is that a certain number of samples from the previous segment are needed to accommodate overlapping operations required for speech processing. Furthermore, for maximum flexibility, the relationship between the segment length, i.e., the length of the Collection and Process Array in Figure 19, and the frame lengths and frame spacing should be arbitrary. That is, the segment length is based on real-time considerations (such as time between screen updates) whereas frame length and frame spacing should based time/frequency resolution be on considerations as mentioned previously. Also, for the best performance, the processing should be synchronized with the speech onset. This is especially important for many of the consonants. The extra data management required to allow this flexibility is illustrated as follows. After the onset is found, the speech data is partitioned into frames starting a certain amount of samples before the detected speech onset. Typically the data from the speech onset to the end of the Process Array cannot be partitioned into an integer number of frames which will use all the data. Therefore, there are a certain number of samples at the end section of the Process Array (up to a maximum of the frame length minus one) which cannot be processed until the next array is filled. To accommodate for this difficulty, a number of samples (one frame length) from the end of the previous Process Array are saved, as shown in the lower left hand corner of Figure 19. Thus a Buffer is defined for the actual processing which consists of this overlapping data, i.e., data from the previous array, and the current data taken from the Process Array. The steps for actual data management are also shown in Figure 19.

41



## Figure 19: Double-Buffering Scheme

After the Buffer is formed, as outlined in the previous paragraph, the next step is to identify one of the following unique cases: (1) a silent Buffer with no speech data, (2) a Buffer with speech starting after the beginning of the Buffer, (3) a full Buffer, with valid speech data for the entire Buffer, or (4) a Buffer with speech data in the beginning but ending before the end of the Buffer. An implicit assumption is that each valid utterance, and that pauses between utterances, are at least one segment length long. Therefore, cases such as a single utterance beginning and ending within a single segment are not allowed. To determine which of the cases above are contained in the buffer, an algorithm was developed to locate the speech onset. The routine in the WinBar program handling this speech detection is called LocateSpeech(). Three variables used by this function are now introduced. More details can be found in (Auberg, 1996). The first variable is called First\_frame. This variable points to the start of the first frame in the current buffer containing speech. The second variable, Next\_frame, points to the beginning of the first frame which does not fit into the current buffer. The third variable, First\_call is set to one if a speech signal started in the current buffer, and is set to zero otherwise.

As an initial condition case (1) is assumed. Therefore First\_call is set to one. Assume that a speech signal starts somewhere in the current buffer and is about two and half segments long. In the LocateSpeech() routine First\_frame is set to point to the first new sample in the buffer, i.e., it is pointing to the start of the current segment. Starting from First\_frame, the data is partitioned into short non-overlapping windows. For each window, from left to right, the energy of the window is computed. Using a threshold it is decided if the current window contains the speech onset. If the energy is below the threshold the next window is tested. If the threshold is reached, the value of First\_frame is updated accordingly to the point at the located onset, minus an offset equal to the number of samples defined for a pre-trigger. Using the value of First\_frame the number of frames fully contained in the buffer is computed. The beginning of the first frame not contained in the buffer is stored in the Next\_frame variable. This is now the case (2) mentioned above.

After the processing is done and the next buffer is available, the value of First frame is calculated based on the buffer length, segment length and the value of Next frame, which is relative to the last buffer. Starting at First frame the buffer is partitioned into windows as described above. The implicit model now only allows two possible states, case (3) or case (4). To determine which case applies to the situation, the energy of the windows is checked against the threshold. However, the energy value is now checked starting from the end of the buffer. This way it can easily determined if the signal lasts until the end of the buffer or not. In our example the whole buffer contains speech data, therefore case (3) is determined. The variable First call is set to zero, since the data in the buffer continues data from the previous buffer. Figure 20 shows first two buffers. An index is used to denote the different time instances of the arrays and variables. The speech was detected to start a little after the beginning of the segment (time n). Clearly the overlap between the two buffers (time n and n+1) can be seen. The lower section of Figure 20 shows the frames which are built in the ComputeLogSpectrum() routine based on the values of First frame, the length of the frame, the frame

44

spacing and the number of frames determined by the LocateSpeech() routine.





After the next buffer is available, the same two states are possible as before, i.e., case (3) or case (4). As assumed above the speech signal ends after about two and half segments in the third buffer. After the current value of First\_frame is calculated, the energy of the windows is computed for this buffer. By scanning from the end of the buffer, it is found that the speech signal stops in the middle of the buffer. Therefore case (4) is now given. Now the number of frames containing at least some speech is found. Next\_frame is set to point to the first frame not containing any speech. Figure 21 shows this situation. Here the last buffer of Figure 20 is shown again for illustration of the continuous nature of the processing. From the buffer at time n+2 only five frames are processed, since the next frame (marked with an 'x') does not contain any speech but would still fit into the buffer.

After case (4) was detected for a buffer, case (1) (initial condition) is assumed for the next buffer.



Figure 21: Sequential Buffers (B)

After a speech onset was found in a buffer, the frame level processing is applied to the data. As the next step, the block level processing is done to calculate the final features. These features are the inputs to the Artificial Neural Network.

#### 4.3 Artificial Neural Network Classifier

Artificial Neural Network Classifiers are widely used in automatic speech recognition based on their flexibility. The type of network used in this thesis is known as a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP). It consists of a large number of identical nodes called neurons. Each neuron has a number of inputs with associated weights, an internal offset and one output. The output is computed as the weighted sum of the inputs minus the offset, where this sum is passed through a non-linear function. Figure 22 shows the structure of a single neuron, neuron k. The X<sub>i</sub> are the inputs to the neuron, w<sub>ki</sub> are the weights and  $\mathbf{2}_k$  denotes the offset. A sigmoid function ranging between zero and one is used as the non-linear function N().



## Figure 22: Structure of a Neuron

The output  $y_k$  of the neuron is computed as follows:

$$y_k = \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^p w_{kj} x_j - \theta_k\right)$$

The neurons are grouped into layers, where the outputs of the previous layer are the inputs to all nodes of the next layer. In the case of the WinBar program an MLP with one hidden layer was used. Hidden layers are 'hidden' between the input layer and the output layer. Figure 23 shows a typical MLP with one hidden layer.



Figure 23: MLP with One Hidden Layer

Since a non-linear function is used to compute the output of each neuron, a MLP can create a non-linear mapping of the input space to the output space. The complexity of the decision region for each class depends on the number of layers and the number of nodes in the layers. Previous research by Zahorian and Jagharghi (1993) showed that to classify ten vowels a MLP with one hidden layer is sufficient. The number of nodes in the hidden layer can be between 30 and 50.

The network used in the WinBar program typically has about 20 inputs. These are the final features computed by the block level processing. The actual number varies with the way the features are computed. The hidden layer has 50 neurons. The output layer consists of ten neurons with one neuron for each vowel. The values of the weights and offset are computed during the training of the neural network. The training is described in section 5.5.

## 4.4 Graphical Display

As mentioned above, the neural network has ten output neurons, one for each vowel. The WinBar program displays the neural network outputs using bars. The height of each bar represents the value of an output neuron and therefore the classification result for a particular vowel. The user can see the quality of his/her articulation attempt by watching all ten bars. For a good pronunciation only the bar associated with the desired vowel should reach a maximum height and the height of all other bars should be close to zero. The WinBar program works in real-time. That means that all processing, the classification of the features by the neural network and the graphical display of the results are finished in the time needed to fill one array used for the double-buffering.

#### 4.5 WinBar Program Structure

This section gives a short introduction to the structure of the WinBar program. Detailed information can be found in (Auberg, 1996). Right after the WinBar program is started, two initialization files are read. The primary information in the first file consists of the names of the neural network weight files. The second file contains the parameters which control the signal processing. A typical parameter file is shown below. The values of the more than twenty parameters can be adjusted to make the signal processing extremely flexible. ID FeatureComputation\_SpecFile[cp\_Fea1300]

// Basi	c para	ametei	rs										
Sample	rate:		11(	)25	11	Hz			8000	- 3	22050	Hz	long
Segment time:				L O O	11	ms			50	-	500	ms	long
Frame time:				20	11	ms			5	-	40	ms	long
Frame space:				10	11	ms			2	-	100	ms	long
FFT length:				256	11	point	s		64	_	1024	points	long
Kaiser Window beta:				6	11	withc		nit	0	_	6	F	float
Num DCTC:				14	11	withc	011† 11	nit	8	_	25		long
DCTC warp fact:				0 4	5 //	withc	11+ 11	nit	0	_	1		float
BVF norm flag:				0.1		withc	11+ 11	nit	0	or	1		long
Low freq:				100	11	H7	Juc u	1110	0	-	300	H 7	long
High freq.			50		11	11Z U 7			3000	_	8000	11Z U 7	long
III GII_II	ey.		51	000	//	112			5000		0000	112	TONA
// Morn	hologi	ical t	filte	r nar	amete	rs							
Freq kernel before:					/ /	H7			0	_	100	H 7	long
Freq kernel after: 0				11	11Z U 7			0	_	100	11Z U 7	long	
Tieq_kernel_alter. 0				0		frome			0	_	100	framos	long
Time_kernel_berore; 0					frame	:5		0	1 £	turad i		long	
IIIIIe_ke	rner_a	arter	•	0	//	LLaine	:5		current.	гу г.	Ixea	10 0	TOUG
// Bloc	k nara	moto	rs										
// block palameters										frames	long		
Block_length_man.				5		frame			1	_	20	frames	long
Block_iength_max.				2		frame	:5		1	_	20	frames	long
BIOCK_Jump:			1		Lidille	:5		1	-	20	LIAMES	long	
Num_DCS:				Ţ		Withd	out u	nit	1	-	10		Long
Time_warp_lact:				0		withd	out u	nit	0	-	10		float
BV1_norm_Ilag:			0	//	witho	out u	nıt	0	or	T		long	
Neo tor	m •												
USe_LEI													
11	DCS0	DCS1	DCS2	DCS3	DCS4	DCS5	DCS6	DCST	7				
DCTC00	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0					
DCTC01	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0					
DCTC02	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1					
DCTC03	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1					
DCTC04	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0					
DCTC05	1	1	1	1	1	1	Ú.	0					
DCTCOS	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0					
DCTC00	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0					
DCIC07	1	1	1			0	0	0					
DCICU8	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0					
DCTCU9	1	1	Ţ	0	0	0	0	U					
DCTCI0	1	1	U	U	U	U	U	U					
DCTCII	1	1	U	0	U	0	0	U					
DCTC12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1					
DCTC13	Ţ	1	Ţ	1	Ţ	1	Ţ	1					
DCTC14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1					
DCTC15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1					

After these files are read, the basis vectors over frequency are computed. These are needed to compute the DCTCs which incorporate the warping over frequency. In the following step the basis vectors over time are computed, which are needed to compute the DCSs. These basis vectors over time can also incorporate a warping of the time axis if desired. If an increasing block length is desired, a set of basis vectors over time for each possible block length is needed.

In the next step the neural network classifier is initialized with the weights and offsets read from a neural network weight file. Recall that this file was created during the training phase of the neural network. In addition a file containing scale factors is read. This file was also created during the training phase of the network. These scale factors are needed later on to scale the final features to the same ranges as those used for training the neural network. This step completes the initialization phase of the WinBar program.

The next operation of the program is the processing phase which starts with data received from the sound card using the double-buffering scheme described above. If a speech signal is detected, the frame and block level processing is applied to the speech segment. The resulting features are scaled using the scale factors mentioned above. The scaled features are then input to the neural network. The classification results are passed to the graphics routine, which controls the heights of the bars on the screen. Next new speech data can be processed by looping continuously through the processing phase. If the user quits the program, certain clean up operations such as freeing memory are done before the end of the program.

The program structure is shown in Figure 24. However, this structure is greatly simplified relative to the actual program. For example the user can switch between different neural networks. This is done to optimize the classification

51

performance with male, female or child speakers.

Typically speech samples of speakers of age 12 and under are used for the child group. Speakers 18 years and older are used for both adult groups. Speaker between 13 and 17 will be used in the child or the adult groups depending on the sound of their pronunciation. These speaker groups have very different speech characteristics. Therefore a male speaker should chose the network trained with male speakers for optimal performance. This is especially important, if the program is used for pronunciation training for hearing impaired or foreign speakers. example a child cannot expect to learn the correct For pronunciation of adult male French vowels. Another feature of the WinBar program not shown here is the possibility to display not only the final classification result but also intermediate results such as the log scaled spectrum or the DCTCs of a frame.

In the case of the WinBar program, where currently only steady state vowels are processed, it should be noted that some of the newly implemented options of the signal processing are not used. For example the time smoothing is disabled, all blocks have the same length and the basis vectors over time are not warped. Preliminary experiments showed that for steady state vowels the use of these advanced techniques does not improve the classification performance. However, since the routines can be used with other phonemes than steady state vowels these options of the signal processing will be used to process stop consonants or other phonemes.



Figure 24: WinBar Program Structure

# 5. TRAINING OF THE WHOLE SYSTEM

Take this page out and adjust page numbers !

## CHAPTER FIVE

## TRAINING OF THE WHOLE SYSTEM

#### 5.1 Overview

In this chapter the steps required to train the neural network used in the WinBar program are explained. The network in the WinBar program operates using fixed weights and offsets, and propagating the features through the network. This of course requires that the weights and offsets are correctly pre-computed for the specific classification task. The values for the weights and offsets are determined in the training phase of the neural network. This chapter describes all steps needed before the WinBar program can be used. These are the same steps required if the neural network needs to be retrained to incorporate more training speakers or to classify a different set of phonemes.

#### 5.2 Recording of Speech Files

The first step in the training procedure is to collect training data. The training data is collected by the WinRec program. This program was implemented for this thesis to enable a convenient, reliable and fast way to collect a large amount of speech samples under a large number of conditions. A detailed description of this program can be found in (Auberg, 1995). The program first prompts the user to correctly pronounce a certain phoneme — vowels for the data actually collected in this study. The steady state section of this vowel is located and written to a file. The data files are labeled uniquely and stored in a certain directory structure organized according to gender and speaker. The filename specifies which vowel is contained in the file. In addition, a secondary file containing labeling information is created. Both files follow the TIMIT standard for speech files (Lamel et al., 1986). Typically five to ten repetitions of each of the ten vowels are saved per recording session for each speaker.

### 5.3 Feature Computation with Tfrontc

The Tfrontc program is used to compute the features from the training data files. A certain group of speakers can be selected, such as, for example, male speakers, to train a specialized neural network. The training data files are then read for all these speakers. For each file the final features are computed using the signal processing routines described in Chapter Three. The features are stored in files using a flexible format developed in the speech lab at ODU for speech processing applications. One feature file is created for each vowel. Each file then contains all selected training tokens for that vowel, thus encompassing a broad range of pronunciations of the vowel independently of a particular speaker.

55

#### 5.4 Scaling of the Features

The features for one particular phoneme vary with speaker to speaker and even with different utterances spoken by the same speaker. Therefore statistics such as the mean and standard deviation can be computed for each feature. It has been shown (for example, Haykin, 1994) that neural networks work best if the features are scaled to have zero mean and a standard deviation of about 0.2 . If a Gaussian distribution of the features is assumed, which is generally valid according to the Central Limit Theorem, the scaled values will then be between -1 and +1 in more than 99% of all cases. Thus the Scale program reads the feature files and computes the mean and standard deviation of each feature over all phonemes. These statistics, which are used as scale factors, are written to a "scale" file. The actual scaling is done with the Transfor program. This program reads the scale file and creates a new set of feature files which now contain the scaled version of the original features. The scale file is also needed later on in the realtime system. After the features are scaled, the neural network can be trained.

#### 5.5 Neural Network Training

The Back-propagation (Lippmann, 1987) learning algorithm was used to train the neural network. For experiments done with the Visual Speech Display, typically the initial learning rate was .25. This rate was gradually reduced during training by multiplying the previous learning rate by .96 after each 5,000 iterations. In our case, where 250,000 iterations were used, the minimum rate was therefore .032. The momentum term was .65, and uni-polar sigmoid non-linearities were used. Networks were typically trained with 250,000 updates, although performance of the network changed relatively little after the first 25,000 updates. Of course, the same network topology was used in the WinBar program. For experimental testing of the WinBar program, an MLP with one input node for each feature, 50 hidden nodes and ten outputs (one for each vowel) was used.

The network described and the Back-Propagation training algorithm were found to perform quite well for vowel classification, using the features computed in this study. A very important consideration is generalization, that is the ability of a trained neural network to correctly classify speech data from a speaker who was not included in the training set. This generalization is the most important characteristic of the neural network, since it is impossible to include all possible speakers in the training set. Typically 15 to 20 speaker per gender group were found to be enough to achieve a good performance with unknown speakers.

To achieve higher accuracy, typically four neural networks were trained - one for male speaker, one for female speakers, one for children and one using all groups of speakers. These networks were all the same size and structure. These specialized networks allow the user of the WinBar program to select the optimal network for his/her pronunciation. The current version of the system (April 1996) contains only three neural networks. The first was trained with four male speakers, the second

57
network was trained with two female speakers, and the third network was trained with all six speakers. The performance of the system was then informally tested with a small group of speaker including the training speakers. Even though there were insufficient training speakers, good performance was obtained. That is, there were very few noted instances of discrepancy between vowel sounds (as judged by the testers of the system), and the observed displays. To give an approximation to the potential performance of the system, Table 2 shows the training classification results of these networks.

	Male	Female	All
Training	99.27%	96.46%	96.78%

#### Table 2: Neural Network Training Results

The reason that only this small amount of training data was used is that the data collection is still an ongoing process. From previous experience, better generalization performance is expected after the networks are trained with a sufficient amount of data.

(Stefan-some place in this section might be a good place for the data I can give you)

### 5.6 Setting up WinBar

The WinBar program requires the names of the weight and scale files for the different speaker groups which were created

during the training phase. These names are specified in a setup file. Storing the filenames in a setup file allows great flexibility since the names can be changed without recompiling the program. For the same reason the parameters, which control the feature computation, are also stored in a file. This is the same file which is used by the Tfrontc program to control the feature computation during the training phase. To ensure that the WinBar program works correctly, the values of the parameters for the feature computations inside WinBar must be the same as for the Tfrontc program. Otherwise there will be a discrepancy between the features the neural network was training with and the features given to the network for classification. This would presumably result in more classification errors. After neural network training is complete, the WinBar program can be started and the newly trained networks are used to classify the unknown speech signal of a speaker in real-time. The block diagram in Figure 25 summarizes the steps needed to train the networks for use in the WinBar program.



Figure 25: Training of the Whole System

# 6. INVESTIGATION OF DISTINCTIVE FEATURES

Take this page out and adjust page numbers !

## CHAPTER SIX

## INVESTIGATION OF DISTINCTIVE FEATURES

#### 6.1 Introduction

Researchers from the signal processing area generally use frequency domain features to represent phonemes, such as those discussed in the preceding chapters of this thesis. The frequency domain features favored by speech scientists are formants, which denote the location of the largest peaks in the frequency spectrum. In our work we use the temporal/spectral features as described in Chapter Three. Linguists usually refer to focus on perceptual or production features rather than acoustic features. One group of such features, which are commonly discussed in the speech science literature, are called distinctive features (for example Chomsky and Halle, 1968). These features attempt to characterize phonemes in the way they are actually produced in the human vocal tract. Vowels are usually represented by six distinctive features. These features are usually viewed as binary attributes. That is, according to the theory, each vowel can be represented by a six bit binary code indicating the presence or absence of each of the features.

In the area of vowel pronunciation training, one reasonable hypothesis is that displays which focus on

distinctive features could be beneficial to the user.

That is, rather than only vowel classification based displays, it might be helpful to show the trainee whether a particular distinctive feature is contained in a production. For example, one important feature which hearing impaired speakers often have difficulty with over the entire vowel space is called "TENSE." The work reported in this chapter describes attempts to investigate the viability of distinctive features for use in the vowel training system.

Another important point to note, before discussing more specifics of this part of this study, is that automatic determination of distinctive features must still be based on acoustic features, since the acoustic speech signal is the only easily measurable signal. Neural networks are also a "natural" method to convert acoustic features to distinctive features since they are good universal function approximators, and since they are also typically trained for binary target outputs. Therefore, in this chapter, we present an experimental investigation of the use of neural networks to convert acoustic spectral/temporal features to distinctive features.

In our first set of experiments we attempt to replicate results from (Meng, 1991), using a two stage classifier. The first stage consists of a group of independent MLPs, each one trained to extract a distinctive feature from the acoustic input features. The outputs of these feature networks are then processed with a secondary MLP stage which performs vowel classification task using the six distinctive features as

determined by the networks in the first stage. By comparing the classification results of this two stage classifier with those obtained with a single network, the degree to which vowel information is preserved in the distinctive features can be assessed.

Another classifier structure which bears some similarities to the two stage method described in the previous paragraph, is the Binary Pair Partition Neural Network (BPPNN) approach. This partitioned approach was shown to be very effective for automatic speaker identification (Norton, 1994) and is now also used in our vowel classification research (Zahorian, 1993). For the BPPNN, a group of independent MLPs is used with each one discriminating only two vowels. The final result is computed by a method described in (Zahorian, 1993). Thus the BPPNN is similar to the distinctive feature approach in that multiple networks are trained, and a second stage is also needed. Therefore, in this chapter, BPPNN results and the results of several different sets of distinctive features are compared to the performance of a standard single MLP.

For this portion of the work we used 13 American English vowels extracted from the TIMIT database. To make our results comparable with the previous study cited (Meng, 1991), we used the same vowels extracted from the identical subset of the TIMIT data base. The set which includes 500 training speakers and 49 test speakers was used to compare the performance of the above mentioned classifiers. The set spans all dialect regions included in the TIMIT data base. The distribution of female and

male speakers also matches the distribution of the entire TIMIT data base. The following table shows the phonetic and the ARPABET labels for the set of the 13 vowels investigated:

IPA	I	I	е	З	æ	a	ວ	0	7	u	g	U	ü
ARPABET	iy	ih	еу	eh	ae	aa	ao	OW	ah	นพ	er	uh	ux

Table 3: Experimental Set of 13 American English Vowels

In this experiments three additional vowels are used in addition to the ten phonemes used in the WinBar program. These three are (using the ARPABET notation) /ey/, /ow/ and /ux/.

#### 6.2 Acoustic Features

To compute acoustic (temporal/spectral) features the speech data was extracted from the TIMIT database using the provided labeling information. These temporal features were computed with the same signal processing routines as described in Chapter Three of this thesis. In this case a frame length of 10 ms and a frame spacing of 2 ms were used. For each frame 12 DCTCs were calculated. The trajectory over the last 150 frames of each of these 12 DCTCs were represented by four DCS terms. This resulted in a compact representation of a vowel with 48 features. These features were used as inputs of the single MLP and the BPPNN. In addition, these features were also the inputs of each of the networks which extracted the desired distinctive feature in the two stage classifier.

#### 6.3 Distinctive Features

Instead of being directly related to the frequency spectrum of the vowels, the idea behind distinctive features is based on linguistics. They describe the way in which phonemes are actually produced in the human vocal tract. For example the position of the tongue hump is used to classify /i/ as a typical front vowel and /o/ as a typical back vowel (Rabiner, 1993). For this work we use the notation of previous work (Meng, 1991) and the common distinctive features [HIGH], [TENSE], [LOW], [BACK], [ROUND] and [RETROFLEX]. Each vowel was characterized to either have a particular feature, denoted with  $\P$ , or not to have it, symbolized with  $\P$ . This gave a unique but redundant binary representation of each vowel. This representation is summarized in Table 4:

ARPABET	iy	ih	еу	eh	ae	aa	ao	OW	ah	uw	er	uh	ux
HIGH	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	9	9	ą	9	9	9	4	<b>*</b> *	9	<b>*</b> *	<b>6</b> **
TENSE	<b>6</b> **	9	<b>*</b> *	9	ą	9	9	<b>6</b> **	4	<b>*</b> *	9	9	<b>6</b> **
LOW	9	9	9	9	€ <sup>%</sup>	<b>*</b> *	<b>6</b> **	9	6)	6)	9	9	ą
BACK	ŀ	Ġ	Ē	Ġ	Ē	<b>*</b> *	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	<b>*</b> *	<b>*</b> *	<b>6</b> %	<b>6</b> **	ŀ
ROUND	9	9	9	9	¢,	9	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	ą	<b>*</b> *	<b>*</b> *	<b>*</b> *	<b>6</b> **
RETROFLEX	9	9	9	9	ą	9	9	9	ą	ą	<b>*</b> *	9	ą

Table 4: The Representation of the Vowels with Distinctive

Features

For each distinctive feature a MLP with one output node was trained to give a output value close to one if the vowel had the specific feature (i.e., a black circle in Table 4) or an output of nearly zero otherwise. The six feature networks comprised the first stage of the distinctive feature classifier. The second stage consisted of one MLP with six inputs. These were connected to the outputs of the six feature networks. This MLP had one hidden layer with 50 nodes and 13 outputs and classified the vowels.

## 6.4 Experiments

In our first group of experiments the performance of the two stage classifier with the original set of six distinctive features mentioned in Table 3 was compared to the performance of a BPPNN approach and the result of a single MLP. All network configurations, i.e., the number of hidden nodes, amount of training, etc. were optimized in pilot experiments with another set of training data mentioned in (Meng, 1991). The first stage of the two stage classifier consisted of a group of memoryless fully interconnected feed-forward MLPs with 48 input nodes, one hidden layer with 50 nodes and one output node. The output of each of these MLPs represented one distinctive feature. The networks were trained with the back propagation algorithm with 200,000 iterations. For the network in the second stage, the same type of one hidden layer MLP was used, but with 300,000 iterations. The number of inputs is the number of distinctive features, i.e. the outputs of the networks in the first layer.

For a different set of distinctive features, the structure of the two stage classifier was modified such that 13 feature networks were used in the first stage. These are shown in Table 5. Each of these was trained to distinguish one particular vowel from all the other 12.

ARPABET	iy	ih	еу	eh	ae	aa	ao	OW	ah	uw	er	uh	ux
Feature a)	<b>*</b>	Ē	Ē	ŀ	Ð	Ð	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ð	Ē
Feature b)	ŀ	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature c)	ŀ	ŀ	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	Ð	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature d)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	<b>6</b> **	Ð	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature e)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ġ	<b>*</b> *	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature f)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ġ	Ð	<b>€</b> <sup>%</sup>	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature g)	al and a second s	Ē	Ē	đ	Ð	Ð	<b>6</b> %	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ð	Ē
Feature h)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	Ð	ŀ	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature i)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ġ	Ð	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature j)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ġ	Ð	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	Ð	ŀ
Feature k)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ġ	Ð	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	Ð	ŀ
Feature 1)	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ġ	Ð	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	<b>€</b> <sup>%</sup>	ŀ
Feature m)	ŀ	Ċ	¢	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	¢	Ċ	¢	¢	ŀ	ŀ	<b>*</b> *

Table 5: Thirteen Features

The Binary Pair Partitioned Neural Network approach used  $(13 \times 12) / 2 (78)$  networks to distinguish the 13 vowels. That is, for each group of two vowels, one network was trained to discriminate them. Each of these 78 networks had 48 inputs, one

hidden layer with 25 nodes and one output node. 100,000 iterations were used for training. The final result was obtained using a method described in (Nossair, 1995). The fourth classifier was a single MLP. This network had 48 inputs and 100 nodes in the hidden layer. This network was trained with 300,000 iterations.

In the second group of experiments we wanted to investigate whether the original set of six distinctive features was "optimum," or whether other definitions of features were significantly better or worse. To create different sets of six features we circularly rotated the feature patterns for each vowel but left the vowel labels in place. That means that the features which characterized the vowel /iy/ are now used as the features for /ih/, and the features originally used for /ux/ are the new features for /iy/, and so on. This rotation of the features by one vowel to the left was done several times. Also another independent set of six features was developed as shown in Table 6.

ARPABET	iy	ih	еу	eh	ae	aa	ao	OW	ah	uw	er	uh	ux
Feature a)	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	q	q	9	q	q	9	q	q	9	9	ŀ
Feature b)	ŀ	Ð	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	Ø	ġ	Ø	Ø	<b>6</b> **	ġ	ŀ
Feature c)	ŀ	Ð	Ø	Ø	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	ġ	<b>6</b> **	Ø	Ø	ġ	ŀ
Feature d)	ą	q	9	9	9	ą	9	9	9	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	9	<b>*</b> *	<b>6</b> **
Feature e)	ŀ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	Ģ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	Ŷ	ŀ	Ģ	ġ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	Ģ	Ŷ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	ŀ
Feature f)	ŀ	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	ŀ	Ġ	ŀ

Table 6: An Independent Set of Six Features

In a third group of experiments we observed that to encode 13 categories only four bits are necessary. So we determined two sets of four features which are shown in Table 7 and Table 8. Note a feature labeled 'Feature a)' in one table is totally independent from another 'Feature a)' in a different table.

ARPABET	iy	ih	еу	eh	ae	aa	ao	OW	ah	uw	er	uh	ux
Feature a)	q	<b>6</b> **	q	<b>6</b> **	q	<b>*</b> **	q	<b>6</b> **	ß	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	Ø	<b>*</b> **	ą
Feature b)	Ē	ŀ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	Ē	Ē	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	Ē	Ē	<b>*</b> *	<b>*</b> **	Ē
Feature c)	Ē	ŀ	Ē	Ē	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>*</b> **	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	<b>*</b> *
Feature d)	Ē	ŀ	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	Ē	<b>*</b> **	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>*</b> *	<b>*</b> **	<b>*</b> *

Table 7: Four Strictly Binary Features

ARPABET	iy	ih	еу	eh	ae	aa	ao	OW	ah	uw	er	uh	ux
Feature a)	9	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	9	9	9	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	q	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	q	<b>*</b>	<b>6</b> **	9	<b>*</b>
Feature b)	<b>*</b> *	9	9	9	9	q	q	9	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>*</b>
Feature c)	9	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	9	9	<b>6</b> **	q	<b>6</b> **	9	<b>*</b>	q	<b>6</b> **	q	ą
Feature d)	Ġ	Ġ	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	ġ	Ð	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	Ð	<b>*</b> *	Ð	<b>6</b> **	đ

Table 8: Four Scrambled Binary Features

A general theoretical issue underlying all of this work is the dimensionality required for this vowel classification problem. The distinctive features, for example, can be viewed as six dimensions representing vowels. To investigate this issue with a different method, we trained a special MLP. The inputs were the same 48 acoustic features. We used 100 nodes for the first of three hidden layers such that the MLP was able to perform complex mappings. The second layer was of particular interest. We varied the number of nodes from 1 to 20 to create 'information bottleneck'. The third hidden layer again an consisted of 100 nodes and the output layer had 13 nodes, with each node corresponding to one vowel. By varying the number of nodes (N) in the second hidden layer in this 48-100-N-100-13 structure we wanted to find the smallest number of nodes required to achieve a peak performance of the overall MLP. The assumption was that this value of N is an estimate of the dimensionality needed to represent the 13 vowels.

#### 6.5 Results and Comparison

In the first group of experiments, which compared the original set of six distinctive features with a set of 13 features and the BPPNN and single MLP classifiers, we found that the BPPNN approach gave the highest result with 84.48% / 71.99% (training / evaluation result). This classifier structure had however the most complex structure, requiring the most weights. The single MLP, which used far less weights than the BPPNN, gave a very close evaluation result with 76.19% / 71.34%. The two stage classifier with 13 feature networks in the first stage gave 73.36% / 70.56% which is about 2.3% better than the original set of six distinctive features. This use of six distinctive features. This use of six distinctive features of 71.13% / 68.16%.

Although the results summarized in the previous paragraph indicated that the six distinctive features did not perform as well as other network configurations tested, results from the second group of experiments did show that the original set of six distinctive features were superior to all other six feature configurations tested. The rotated set gave on average two to three percent less than the original set. The other independent set resulted in 68.12% / 65.41%, i.e., about 3% less.

In the third group of experiments only four features were used. The strict binary set (Table 7) gave 65.76% / 64.99% and the scrambled set (Table 8) resulted in 63.02% / 58.17%. Thus four features did perform more poorly than six features.

The fourth group of experiments showed that with an increasing number of hidden nodes in the second layer (N) the

classification performance reached at maximum at N = 6and remained approximately constant with increasing N. For N = 4we achieved evaluation result of 66.13%, whereas N = 6 gave a result of 69.66%. Figure 26 shows the evaluation results of this experiment where N, the number of hidden nodes in the second hidden layer, varies from one to 12.



**Evaluation Results over N** 

Figure 26: Evaluation Results over N

The result for N = 6 in the preceding paragraph was very close to the result using the six distinctive features. To find out how the network represents the phonemes internally in the second hidden layer, we extracted the outputs of these six neurons. The mean of the output over each vowel was computed. We can view the six mean values for a particular vowel as internal features. These features were not strictly binary as for the distinctive features. However, using a threshold, we determined a modified set of features based on these mean values. These features were sorted and if necessary were inverted. They are shown in Table 9.

ARPABET	iy	ih	еу	eh	ae	aa	ao	OW	ah	uw	er	uh	ux
Feature a)	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	ŀ	q	ŀ	Ð	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>•</b> **
Feature b)	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	ŀ	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	ŀ	<b>6</b> %	Ē	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	Ē	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	Ē	€ <sup>%</sup>
Feature c)	q	q	q	q	<b>6</b> **	<b>€</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	q	q	q	q	q	ę
Feature d)	q	q	q	q	ą	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	ę
Feature e)	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	q	q	q	<b>6</b> **	<b>6</b> **	q	<b>6</b> **	q	<b>6</b> <sup>%</sup>	<b>*</b>
Feature f)	Ċ	ŀ	Ċ	Ċ	Ċ	ŀ	Ċ	ŀ	Ċ	<b>●</b> <sup>™</sup>	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ

Table 9: Six Modified Internal Features

It is interesting to note that these modified internal features, which were created unsupervised by the neural network, are extremely similar to the six distinctive features shown in Table 4.

In a new experiment we trained a set of feature networks to create the six modified internal features. As in the experiment with the six distinctive features a second neural network was used to classify the 13 vowels based on theses six modified internal features. The results of this experiment were 71.16% / 68.28%, which is slightly less than for the original set of six features.

### 6.6 Conclusion

Keeping the performance of the classifiers in the first group of experiments in mind, it can be said that for strict automatic vowel classification there is no gain in first creating distinctive features in a first stage and then combining these with a second MLP. A better result can be obtained by a much less complex single MLP. Slightly better results were obtained by the more complex BPPNN approach. However, if one wants to use six intermediate features the original set of six distinctive features listed in Table 4 work best. It is interesting to note that our result with the same set of distinctive features, based on 48 acoustic input features, is about 5% higher than the result in (Meng, 1991), where 120 input features based on an auditory model were used; the BPPNN gave about 8% higher evaluation results.

Combining the results from group three and four, we can conclude that four features are not enough and that the dimensionality of the 13 vowel space is about six. Comparing the N = 6 case which resulted in 69.66% (evaluation data) with the 68.16% from the original six distinctive feature set, we can say that these distinctive features are a reasonably good representation of the vowels.

However, by comparing the four different structures of the first group of experiments it can be said that for strict automatic vowel classification the use of distinctive features has no advantage over a direct use of frequency based features. Nevertheless, for the purposes of pronunciation training, distinctive features could be used, and might be beneficial. The results of the experiments reported in this chapter show that at least most of the information in the vowels is contained in six features. Additional work would be required to

# 7. ACHIEVEMENTS AND FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS

Take this page out and adjust page numbers !

## CHAPTER SEVEN

## ACHIEVEMENTS AND FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS

#### 7.1 Achievements

In this section the achievements in implementing this new and improved version of the signal processing are summarized.

The developed signal processing routines are an implementation of the newest research about temporal/spectral features for automatic speech recognition. Without code changes all relevant parameters (more than 20) can be specified in a self-explaining setup file. The routines have a clear interface and clearly separated tasks. This very structured and modular approach allows easy integration of additional routines and easy modification of single routines if necessary in the future.

All essential data management was included in these routines for compactness and processing speed. Based on the modular approach, additional data management functions, as the LocateSpeech() routine to detect the speech onset in the realtime system, can easily be integrated in the existing code.

Another important consideration was that the signal processing routines are very portable. This allows the use of these advanced routines in many situations, like in MS-DOS programs, combined C/FORTRAN code and Windows (16 and 32bit) applications. In addition, the routines can even run a totally different environment like the ELF DSP board from ASPI which is based on the TMS320C3X chips from TI. Even though for the Visual Speech Articulation Training Aid there is no need to use a DSP board, other application might require a DSP board or a stand alone operation on a dedicated DSP system.

This leads to another big advantage over the previous system. Since the signal processing routines were speed optimized to run on a DSP board the training features could not be computed off-line on a PC. Each time a variation in signal processing was investigated, thus requiring that another neural network be trained, a group of speaker was needed. The features were then computed in real-time on the DSP board and saved to a file. This approach made algorithmic refinements extremely difficult to explore. By using the WinRec recording program, the speech samples are only collected once and the time waveform is saved to a file. Since the signal processing routines are portable, they were integrated to an off-line program (Tfrontc). Using this program it is easy to compute new features for the neural network. In particular, this technique allows the optimization of the signal processing parameters. The classification results of the different experiments are now directly comparable since the features are based on the same speech files.

#### 7.2 Working with phonemes other than Vowels

The main reason to integrate such a large amount of flexibility into the signal processing routines was to be able

to work with other phonemes than steady-state vowels.

From a speech recognition point of view the steady state vowels are the convenient to classify, since they don't change their characteristics significantly over time and the length of the vowel is typically sufficient. In addition the onset detection is not critical and no coarticulation occurs. This is different if the vowels are extracted from short words. Here the length of the vowel is limited and coarticulation with the previous and following phoneme occurs.

For the real-time system some additional logic needs to be implemented to located the vowel inside the word and to extract the useful section of the vowel, i.e., where the least coarticulation occurs. In addition, the signal processing parameters need to be adjusted. For example, the length of a frame should be shorter (about 5 to 10 ms). Also the trajectory features computed with block level processing is advantageous to determine the spectral/temporal pattern of the vowel in the word.

For work with stop consonants the detection of the onset is critical (Correal, 1994). Therefore logic to find this onset with great accuracy is needed. It was shown in the above mentioned work that the Teager energy operator (Kaiser, 1990) can be used for a reliable onset detection. The signal processing parameters need to be adjusted for optimal feature computation. The technique of the increasing block length can be used to increase the time resolution in the critical onset section of the consonant.

#### 7.3 Other Display Types

The WinBar program is only one of many possible ways to display the classification result. Here a bar for each vowel is displayed. The height of a bar is proportional to the correctness of the pronunciation. This display can be used to improve the pronunciation of hearing impaired persons or of foreign speakers (Correal, 1994). A correct pronunciation is achieved when the bar of the desired vowel reaches its maximum height and all others bar have a height of zero. Another type of an analytic display is the so called ellipse display. Here elliptic target regions are shown on the screen. The two dimensional display is based on the common f1/f2 dimensional plot of vowel positions in this two-dimensional formant space. In this case, the neural network has an additional layer which maps the ten-dimensional output space to the two-dimensional f1/f2 space. A program to implement this kind of display to run on a Windows Multimedia PC is currently under development. However, Figure 27 shows the current implementation of the ellipse display in the WinBall program. Here the green ball must be moved by the pronunciation to the labeled target ellipse. This in shown for a correct pronunciation of /ee/.



Figure 27: Current WinBall Program

Even though the two computer displays described can help improve the pronunciation of the target phonemes, a more game oriented approach could also be used. This can range from a game teaching only one vowel to more involved games using two, four or more vowels. In a game to teach the pronunciation of one vowel, the correctness of the pronunciation can be mapped to a number of levels, where a higher level leads to more points or to a 'friendlier' reaction of the computer. Games teaching two vowels can include a voice controlled pinball machine or any other type of game where a player moves up and down or left and right. The correctness of the pronunciation would then control how far or how fast the player moves. Any game based on a joystick control can be modeled as a four vowel game. For example a voice controlled PAC-man game is possible.

Some ideas, like the PAC-man game, were implemented in the old system. However the Windows based approach of the new system can lead to user friendlier and more interesting graphics in the games with more accuracy in the signal processing.

The actual implementation of a game type display would be closely based on the existing WinBar program. This program already handles the real-time signal processing and a classification through a neural network. After the game graphics are developed, the outputs of the neural network can directly used to control the movements of the player.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Auberg, S. (1995), "Help file for WinBar", Speech Lab Documentation, Old Dominion University.
- Auberg, S. (1996), "Help file for Feature Computation", Speech Lab Documentation, Old Dominion University.
- Chomsky, N., and Halle, M., (1968), "Sound Pattern of English," (Harper & Row)
- Correal, N., (1994), "Real-time Visual Speech Articulation Training Aid," Masters Thesis, Old Dominion University.
- Haykin, S., (1993), "Neural Networks, A Comprehensive Foundation," (Macmillan, New York)
- Lamel, L., Kassel, R., and Seneff, S., (1986), "Speech Database Development: Design and Analysis of the Acoustic-Phonetic Corpus," <u>DARPA Speech Recognition Workshop</u>, Report No. SAIC-86/1546, pp. 100-109
- Leung, H. and Zue, V., (1988), "Some Phonetic Recognition Experiments Using Artificial Neural Nets," <u>ICASSP-88</u>, pp. I: 422-425.
- Leung, H. and Zue, V., (1990), "Phonetic Classification Using Multi-Layer Perceptrons," ICASSP-90, pp. I: 525-528.
- Lippmann, R., (1987), "An introduction to computing with neural nets," IEEE ASSP magazine, April, 4-22.
- Meng, H., and Zue, V., (1991), "Signal representation Comparison for Phonetic Classification," ICASSP-91, pp. 285-288.
- Meng, H., and Zue, V., (1990), "A Comparative study of Acoustic Representation of Speech for Vowel Classification Using Multi-Layer Perceptrons", ICSLP-90, pp. 1053-1056
- Norton, C., Zahorian, S., and Nossair, Z., (1994), "The Application of Binary-pair Partitioned Neural Networks to the Speaker Verification Task," ANNIE-94, pp. 441-446

- Nossair, Z., and Zahorian, S., (1991), "Dynamic Spectral Shape Features as Acoustic correlates for Initial Stop Consonants," J. Acoust. Soc. Amer. 89-6, pp. 2978-2991.
- Nossair, Z., Silsbee, P., and Zahorian, S.,(1995), "Signal modeling enhancements for automatic speech recognition," ICASSP-95, pp. 824-827.
- Rabiner, L. and Juang, B., (1993) "Fundamentals of Speech Recognition," (Prentice Hall, New Jersey)
- Rudasi, L. and Zahorian, S., (1991) "Text-independent talker identification with neural networks," <u>ICASSP-91</u>, pp. 389-392.
- Rudasi, L., (1992) "Text-independent automatic speaker identification using partitioned neural networks," Ph.D. Dissertation, Old Dominion University.
- Sorensen, H., et. al., (1987) "Real-valued Fast Fourier Transform Algorithms," <u>IEEE ASSP magazine</u>, June, pp. 849-863.
- Wang, X., Zahorian, S., and Auberg, S., (1996) "Analysis of Speech Segments using Variable Spectral\temporal Resolution," ICSLP-96, pp. (TBA).
- Zahorian, S., and Jagharghi, A., (1993) "Spectral-shape features versus formants as acoustic correlates for vowels," <u>J.</u> Acoust. Soc. Amer. 94-4, pp. 1966-1982.
- Zahorian, S., Nossair, Z., and Norton, C., (1993) "A partitioned neural network approach for vowel classification using smoothed time/frequency features," <u>Eurospeech-93</u>, pp. II: 1225-1228