A LOW VOLTAGE CONTROLLER FOR A CHAOTIC MICRO RESONATOR
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ABSTRACT

The performance of a chaotic micro resonator is improved by redesigning a quadratic controller reported in a previous study. The chaotic micro resonator is composed of an electrostatic actuator and a voltage regulator (controller). The actuator is made of cantilever beam electrode above a fixed electrode. The actuator voltage, and consequently the electrostatic force magnitude, is controlled to drive the cantilever beam oscillations into chaos by creating a bi-stable regime in the system response. The performance of the redesigned controller is improved such that the system input voltage is reduced. The voltage generated by the controller is halved and the controller gains are smaller, which reduces the power and gain demands on the analogue controller components. The static and dynamic responses of the chaotic oscillator are studied and the sizes of the basins of attraction are compared for the improved and the original quadratic controllers. The controller can be configured to create two potential wells. The excitation DC voltages can then be adjusted to control the size of the upper and lower wells and thereby the basins of attraction around the two stable equilibria. As a result, one can shape the chaotic attractor to meet desired criteria. The reported chaos is intermittent chaos located in the lower well and between the two potential wells. This study can be used to design a new generation of nonlinear oscillators targeting high resolution sensors.

1 Introduction

In contrast to abundant research studies on linear MEMS oscillators, studies on nonlinear oscillators are very limited. Nonlinear oscillators at the macro scale have been successfully developed for health monitoring [1–3] and for detecting corrosion [4]. The sensitivity of the chaotic oscillation was employed to detect very small stiffness changes as small as 15%, in aeroelastic systems [5, 6], and to detect mass variations as small as 0.07% due to corrosion in an aluminum cantilever beam [4]. Such high sensitivities could not be achieved by linear oscillators. Nonlinear chaotic micro-oscillators have not received much attention due to their complex behavior. There are reports of chaotic oscillation in MEMS for atomic force microscopy (AFM) [7–9] and in electrostatic MEMS [10–18], but the target for these studies was to avoid this type of nonlinear vibration. A similar objective was pursued by Park et al. [19] that added a force control to an electrostatic actuator using its displacement feedback and converted the chaotic vibrations to periodic oscillations. In smaller scale NEMS, there are some recent studies on nonlinear vibration, chaos and their applications [20–22]. Bucks et al. [20] found higher mass detection sensitivity is achieved once operating a nanomechanical resonator in the nonlinear region. Conley et al. [21] studied the onset of nonlinear planar motion and nonplanar whirling motion of electrostatically excited nanowires with a proposed application in an overload detection mechanism. The study is then further developed by Chen et al. [22], in which they presented bifurcation diagram for the extensive nonplanar chaotic oscillation of a nanowire at increased AC voltages. Chen et al. [22] suggested applying the chaotic nanowire oscillators in
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The focus of this study is to create a chaotic oscillator that shows sustained chaotic oscillation for a wide range of AC and DC excitations that can be used as a nonlinear sensor with higher sensitivity. The chaotic oscillator is comprised of a voltage regulator (controller) added to an electrostatic actuator.

Feedback control in MEMS are reported in a number of studies [18, 19, 23]. Lu et al. [23] used a capacitive sensor for an electrostatic actuator and extended their operation range. The controlled system was then investigated by Liu et. al [18] who reported chaos is the response of the system to strong disturbances. In an extension of their studies, Towfighian et al. [24] presented a comprehensive study of the nonlinear dynamic behavior of the closed loop system and illustrated the bifurcation diagrams for finding the excitation voltage parameters for sustained chaotic oscillations [24]. A new controller to extend the operation ranges of the chaotic oscillation and to decrease the input voltage was designed in a previous study [25]. In this work, the controller has been redesigned to further reduce the input voltage of the system, to reduce the voltage generated by the controller, and to decrease the controller gains and thereby to make it realizable in an analog circuit. In addition, the improved oscillator shows tunability in terms of the location over the gap, which can be useful with regard to different design criterion.

2 System Modeling

The chaotic oscillator system consists of an electrostatic actuator and a voltage regulator (controller). The electrostatic actuator has a cantilever beam above a fixed electrode (Figure 1). Using an Euler-Bernoulli beam model, the equation of motion for a damped cantilever beam in an electrostatic field is a PDE:

$$\rho A \frac{\partial^2 \hat{w}(\hat{x}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + EI \frac{\partial^4 \hat{w}(\hat{x}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^4} + c \frac{\partial \hat{w}(\hat{x}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{t}} = \frac{\epsilon_0 b V_{DC}^2}{2(d - \hat{w}(\hat{x}, \hat{t}))^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\hat{w}(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$ is the deflection of the beam in the $\hat{z}$ direction, $\hat{x}$ is the coordinate along the beam length, and $\hat{t}$ is time. The linear viscous damping coefficient per unit length $c$ is used to account for damping losses due to the beam motion through air, $A$ is the cross sectional area, $I$ is the second moment of area, $V_{DC}$ is the applied DC voltage and the other parameters are defined in Table 1.

The equations of motion of the chaotic oscillator containing the electrostatic actuator and the controller then follow where both sides of the electrostatic actuator equation of motion are multiplied by $(d - \hat{w}(\hat{x}, \hat{t}))^2$ and then the equations are nondimensionalized:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{w}(1-w)^2 + w^{(4)}(1-w)^2 + \mu \dot{w}(1-w)^2 = \alpha(V_{in} - \dot{V}_c)^2 \\ \dot{V}_c = -K_f(V_c - \frac{(a_0 + a_1 q_1 + a_2 q_1^2)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}) \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $w$ is the nondimensional beam deflection (nondimensionalized with respect to the initial gap $d$) in the $\hat{z}$ direction (Figure 1), which is a function of time and the coordinate along the beam length ($w(x,t)$), $q_1$ is the nondimensional beam tip deflection, and time $t$ is nondimensional with respect to a time constant

$$T = \sqrt{\frac{\rho AL^4}{EI}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The two system parameters, $\mu$ and $\alpha$, are given by

$$\mu = 2\zeta \omega_n, \quad \alpha = \frac{\epsilon_0 b L^4}{2EI d^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\zeta$ is the damping ratio found experimentally and $\omega_n$ is the nth canonical natural frequency of a cantilever beam. All other parameters used are listed in Table 1. In Equation 2, $\dot{w}$ and $\ddot{w}$ are the second and first derivatives with respect to time and $w^{(4)}$ is the fourth derivative with respect to the coordinate along the length. $\dot{V}_c$ is the output voltage of the controller, and $V_{in}$ is the input voltage consisting of AC and DC components:

$$V_{in} = V_{DC} + V_{AC} \cos(\omega t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Figure 1: Schematic of the microbeam oscillator (Arrows indicate the field direction).
Table 1: Actuator parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>2331 $\text{kg/m}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam Length</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>157.4 $\mu\text{m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam Width</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>10 $\mu\text{m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam height</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>1.9 $\mu\text{m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial gap</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.9 $\mu\text{m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondimensional damping coefficient</td>
<td>μ</td>
<td>0.6153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permittivity of free space</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_0$</td>
<td>8.85E-12 $\text{F/m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of Elasticity</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>150 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrator gain</td>
<td>$\frac{K_I}{T}$</td>
<td>0.1776 $\frac{1}{s}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To obtain the ordinary differential equations of the system, separation of variables is used:

$$w(x,t) = \Phi_1(x)q_1(t)$$

where $\Phi_1(x)$ is the 1st cantilever beam mode shape normalized with respect to the beam tip modal deflection so that $\Phi_1(1) = 1$, and $q_1$ is the normalized beam tip deflection. Galerkin’s method is then applied to obtain a set of ordinary differential equations: (see Towfighian et. al [26] for more details)

$$\begin{align*}
(q\dot{q}_1 + \mu \dot{q}_1 + \omega^2 q_1)(1 + c_1 q_1 + c_2 q_1^2) &= c_3 \alpha (V_{\text{ref}} - \hat{V}_c)^2 \\
\dot{\hat{V}}_c &= -K_I (\hat{V}_c - \frac{(a_0 + a_1 q_1 + a_2 q_1^2)}{\sqrt{\alpha}})
\end{align*}$$

where $c_1, c_2, c_3$ are Galerkin’s nondimensional coefficients. To implement the electronic circuit of the controller, the second equation is rewritten to include dimensional time:

$$\dot{\hat{V}}_c = -\frac{K_I}{T} (\hat{V}_c - \frac{(a_0 + a_1 q_1 + a_2 q_1^2)}{\sqrt{\alpha}})$$

where the value of the integrator gain $\frac{K_I}{T}$ is given in Table 1. The coefficients in the above equation are chosen to be realizable in an electronic circuit and $\hat{V}_c$ has a 35 V range (-15 V to 20 V) as illustrated in Figure 2, which is 2.29 times less voltage compared to the previous design [24].

The parameters for the simulations of the cantilever beam are identified experimentally. The natural frequency of the cantilever beam has been found experimentally to be 99.38 kHz and the beam length was determined to be 157 $\mu\text{m}$.

### 3 Static Analysis

The controller is redesigned to generate chaotic vibrations with tunable potential wells and reduced input voltage. To study the behavior of the chaotic actuator, the location of the equilibrium points and their stability conditions should be known. The location of the equilibrium points, the static response, is found from Equation 7 by setting the derivatives to zero, inputting the DC voltage, and solving numerically. The stability of the points are found by linearizing Equation 7 around the equilibrium points and finding the eigenvalues. Static responses for two designs of the controller are illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 and the eigenvalues at $V_{\text{in}} = 2$ V in Figure 4 are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Eigenvalues for the equilibrium points at $V_{\text{in}} = 2$ V. (# corresponds to the numbers shown in Figure 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>$q_1$</th>
<th>Eigenvalue 1</th>
<th>Eigenvalue 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>-0.308+5.72i</td>
<td>-0.308-5.72i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>-3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>-0.308+2.11i</td>
<td>-0.308-2.11i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>-16.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be concluded from Figure 4, and Table 2 that points 1 and 3 are the stable equilibrium points and points 2 and 4 are unstable equilibrium points. The nondimensional natural frequency of the lower and upper equilibrium points (1 & 3) are 5.72 and 2.11 and correspond to 161.7 kHz and 59.6 kHz respectively.

The bistability region for the first design in Figure 3 is 5.3 V, which is the same as the bistability range in Towfighian et al. [24], but the bistability range for the second design in Figure 4 is as large as 20.95 V. The first design bi-stability operating voltages are 2.6 V to 7.9 V, whereas the operating voltages for the second design are 1.75 V to 22.7 V. The operating voltages show significant reduction in comparison to the 110 V in Towfighian et al. [24] reducing the demand on the electronic components (Figure 5). The first design is suitable when the location of the upper stable equilibrium point is required to be less than 70% of the gap and the input voltage is limited to 8 V. On the other hand, the second design is suitable when the location of the upper equilibrium point can reach 90% of the gap. The two alternative designs are valuable with regard to different design objectives or potential implementation complications.

4 Dynamic Analysis
The steady state dynamic response of the oscillator to AC voltages is found by solving Equations 7 numerically for 5000 periods of AC excitation and keeping the last 128 periods. The DC voltage is set to $V_{DC} = 2$ V and the ranges for AC amplitude and frequency where chaos occurs are found.

Exciting at the nondimensional frequency of 3.2 or the dimensional frequency of 90.4 kHz, which is between the natural frequency of the two equilibrium points, chaos occurs at the AC amplitude of $V_{AC} = 1$ V (Figure 6). Figure 6 shows that the motion develops in the lower well and then it goes beyond the bump and starts oscillating between the two potential wells, then sud-
denly it comes back to the lower well, and the motion repeats itself. This type of chaos is intermittent chaos different from chaos through period doubling [25]. The chaotic motion developed in this case is mostly in the lower well and between the two wells as the phase portrait and times series of the deflection show. The controller voltage is changing between 10 to 19 V and it is significantly reduced from 50 V peak to peak in Towfighian et al [25].

Figure 6: Top: phase portrait of chaotic oscillation, Bottom left: Nondimensional beam tip deflection, Bottom right: Controller output voltage \(V_c\), for the second design and \(K_I = 0.1776\). Once DC voltage \(V_{DC} = 2\) V, the amplitude of excitation \(V_{AC} = 1\) V, and the frequency of excitation is 90.4 kHz. (crosses show the location of saddles and circles show the location of stable equilibrium points)

5 Conclusion

A chaotic oscillator is developed in this study by adding a controller to drive an electrostatic actuator to chaos. The controller has a quadratic function and can be implemented on an analog circuit. It regulates the voltage of the actuator and makes it bi-stable for a range of DC voltage. Controller performance has been improved since a previous study [24] to require less input voltage and to generate half the voltage thus decreasing the electronic circuit component demands.

The static response of the system is presented for two alternative designs of the controller. It reveals that the improved controller not only has a wider voltage range for bistability, but also has lower operating input voltages. The improved controller also offers a chaotic oscillator with tunable basins of attraction. In other words, the expansion of the chaotic oscillation over the gap can be adjusted by changing the input DC voltage.

The dynamic steady state response of the system to AC voltages is also presented. By exciting the system with AC voltage at a frequency between the natural frequencies of the two potential wells intermittent chaos is obtained. This intermittent chaotic motion is located in the lower well and between the two potential wells. It is observed that the voltage generated by the controller is significantly reduced since the previous study [25].
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