Case Study TDOA/FDOA Location

- Overview
- Stage 1: Estimating TDOA/FDOA
- Stage 2: Estimating Geo-Location

TDOA/FDOA LOCATION



Classical TDOA/FDOA Emitter Location:

- **<u>Stage 1</u>**: Estimate TDOA/FDOA
- <u>Stage 2</u>: Estimate the emitter's location from the info from stage 1.



<u>Stage 1</u>: Estimating TDOA/FDOA



SIGNAL MODEL

• Will Process Equivalent Lowpass signal, $\mathbf{BW} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{Hz}$ - Representing RF signal with RF BW = B Hz $X_{RF}(f)$ • Sampled at Fs > B complex samples/sec • Collection Time T sec • At each receiver: $\mathbf{X}(f)$ Make **ADC** Equalize **BPF** LPE $X^{f}_{LPE}(f)$ Signal $\cos(\omega_1 t)$ -B/2 B/2



DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL



DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL (continued)

Analytic Signals Model Analytic Signal of Tx

$$\widetilde{s}(t) = E(t)e^{j[\omega_c t + \phi(t)]}$$
Analytic Signal of Rx

$$\widetilde{s}_r(t) = \widetilde{s}([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d)$$

$$= E([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d)e^{j\{\omega_c([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d) + \phi([1 - v/c]t - \tau_d)\}}$$

Now what? Notice that $v \ll c \Rightarrow (1 - v/c) \approx 1$ Say v = -300 m/s (-670 mph) then $v/c = -300/3 \times 10^8 = -10^{-6} \Rightarrow (1 - v/c) = 1.000001$

Now assume E(t) & $\phi(t)$ vary slowly enough that

$$E([1-v/c]t) \approx E(t)$$

 $\phi([1-v/c]t) \approx \phi(t)$

For the range of *v* of interest

Called Narrowband Approximation

ex

DOPPLER & DELAY MODEL (continued)

Narrowband Analytic Signal Model

$$\widetilde{S}_{r}(t) = E(t - \tau_{d})e^{j\{\omega_{c}t - \omega_{c}(v/c)t - \omega_{c}\tau_{d} + \phi(t - \tau_{d})\}}$$

$$= e^{-j\omega_{c}\tau_{d}}e^{-j\omega_{c}(v/c)t}e^{j\omega_{c}t}E(t - \tau_{d})e^{j\phi(t - \tau_{d})}$$
Constant Doppler Carrier Transmitted Signal's
Phase Shift Term LPE Signal
Term Term Term Time-Shifted by τ_{d}

Narrowband Equivalent Lowpass Signal (ELPS) Model

$$\hat{s}_r(t) = e^{j\alpha} e^{-j\omega_d t} \,\hat{s}(t - \tau_d)$$

This is the signal that actually gets processed digitally Nex

Stein's CRLB for TDOA

S. Stein, "Algorithms for Ambiguity Function Processing," *IEEE Trans. on ASSP*, June 1981

Most well-known form is for the C-T version of the problem:



BT = Time-Bandwidth Product ($\approx N$, number of samples in DT) B = Noise Bandwidth of Receiver (Hz) T = Collection Time (sec)

Problem with Stein's CRLBs

M. Fowler X. Hu, "Signal Models for TDOA/FDOA Estimation," *IEEE T. AES*, Oct. 2008.

Stein's paper does not <u>derive</u> these CRLB results... rather they are just stated.

There is no mention of what signal model is assumed....

And, it turns out that matters very much!!!



TDOA/FDOA CRLB History Lesson



<u>Answer</u>: Not as much as many Radar/Comm researchers/practitioners think!



Signals: Sonar vs. RF

M. Fowler X. Hu, "Signal Models for TDOA/FDOA Estimation," *IEEE T. AES*, Oct. 2008.

Two sampled <u>passively</u>-received complex-valued baseband signals:

$$\mathbf{r}_{1}[n] = e^{j\phi}s(nT - \tau_{1})e^{j\nu_{1}nT} + w_{1}[n]$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{2}[n] = s(nT) + w_{2}[n]$$

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
Noise Model
• Zero-mean WSS processes
• Gaussian
• Independent of each other

This much is the same for each case...

At least when the narrowband approximation can be used... which we assume here so we can focus on the impact of differences in the *statistical* model.



Signal Models: Sonar vs. RF

M. Fowler X. Hu, "Signal Models for TDOA/FDOA Estimation," *IEEE T. AES*, Oct. 2008.

• Passive Sonar

- Signal = Sound from Boat
- "Erratic" signal behavior
- Model as Random Process
 - Zero-mean WSS
 - Gaussian
 - Independent of Noise
- Expected values taken over signal + noise ensemble
 - Estimation accuracy is average over all possible noises <u>and</u> signals

- Passive Radar/Comm
 - Signal = Pulse Train
 - Structured signal behavior
 - Model as Deterministic
 - Specific pulse shape
 - Pulse width & spacing
 - Expected values taken over only noise ensemble
 - Estimation accuracy is average over all possible noises <u>for one specific signal</u>



PDFs: Sonar vs. RF

M. Fowler X. Hu, "Signal Models for TDOA/FDOA Estimation," *IEEE T. AES*, Oct. 2008.

- For both cases the received data vector... is Gaussian.
- But how TDOA/FDOA is embedded is very different.

This is the key... it impacts significant differences in:

- Fisher Info Matrix (FIM) / Cramer-Rao Bound (CRB)
- ML Estimator Structure



FIM/CRB: Sonar vs. RF

M. Fowler X. Hu, "Signal Models for TDOA/FDOA Estimation," *IEEE T. AES*, Oct. 2008.

• For complex Gaussian case the FIM elements are:

$$\left[\mathbf{J}_{gg}\right]_{ij} = 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right]^{H} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\right]\right) + \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\right)$$

Leads to <u>VERY</u> different forms for the two cases:

Passive Sonar FIM:

$$\left[\mathbf{J}_{sonar}\right]_{ij} = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\right)$$

Passive Radar/Comm FIM:

$$[\mathbf{J}_{radar}]_{ij} = 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right]^{H} \mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{\theta}}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\right]^{H}\right)$$

First developed by Bangs.. falls out of general case Difficult to assess... usually use "Whittles Theorem" Depends on Noise PSD as well as Signal PSD

Easy to numerically assess... Depends on <u>specific</u> signal structure



Correct CRLB for RF Signals

A. Yeredor & E. Angel, "Joint TDOA and FDOA Estimation: A Conditional Bound and Its Use for Optimality Weighted Localization", IEEE T. SP April 2011.

Fowler & Hu → Yeredor & Angel to consider "specific signal" case

"...bounds derived under an assumption of a stochastic source signal are associated with the "<u>average</u>" <u>performance</u>, averaged not only over noise realizations, but also over different source signal realizations, all drawn from the same statistical model."

"It might be of greater interest to obtain a "<u>signal-specific</u>" bound, namely: for a given realization of the source signal, to predict the attainable performance when averaged only over different realizations of the noise. Such a bound can relate more accurately to the specific structure of the specific signal."



A. Yeredor & E. Angel

Correct CRLB for RF Signals

$$r_1[n] = s(nT) + w_1[n]$$

$$r_2[n] = ae^{j\phi} \underbrace{s(nT - \tau)}_{\triangleq s_{\tau}[n]} e^{j\nu nT} + w_2[n]$$

$$-\frac{N}{2} \le n \le \frac{N}{2} - 1$$

Signal Model

- Deterministic
- Complex Baseband
- *s*[*n*] itself is <u>UN</u>-Known
 - Must Estimate!

Noise Model

- Zero-mean WSS processes
- White (can generalize to colored noise)
- Gaussian
- Independent of each other
- Complex Baseband

Define:

$$\mathbf{s} \triangleq \left[s \left[-\frac{N}{2} \right] \quad s \left[-\frac{N}{2} + 1 \right] \quad \cdots \quad s \left[\frac{N}{2} - 1 \right] \right]^{T}$$
$$\mathbf{s}_{\tau} \triangleq \left[s_{\tau} \left[-\frac{N}{2} \right] \quad s_{\tau} \left[-\frac{N}{2} + 1 \right] \quad \cdots \quad s_{\tau} \left[\frac{N}{2} - 1 \right] \right]^{T}$$



A. Yeredor & E. Angel

Correct CRLB for RF Signals

 $\mathbf{s}_{\tau} = \mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{D}_{\tau} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}$ $\mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-j\frac{2\pi}{2\pi}\cdot\mathbf{nn}^{T}\right)$



F is (unitary) DFT matrix:

Now using property of DFT:

 \mathbf{D}_{τ} is "delay" matrix:

 \mathbf{D}_{ν} is "doppler" matrix:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\tau} = diag \left\{ \exp\left(-j\frac{2\pi}{N}\cdot\mathbf{n}\cdot\tau\right) \right\}$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{\nu} = diag \left\{ \exp\left(-j\cdot\mathbf{n}\cdot\nu\right) \right\}$$







 $\mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \operatorname{cov}\{\mathbf{r}\} \triangleq \mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1^2 \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sigma_2^2 \mathbf{I} \end{vmatrix}$

No **θ** dependence!

Correct CRLB for RF Signals

$$\mathbf{r}_{1} = \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v}_{1}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{2} = ae^{j\phi} \underbrace{\mathbf{D}_{\nu} \left[\mathbf{F}^{H} \mathbf{D}_{\tau} \mathbf{F}\right]}_{\triangleq \mathbf{Q}_{\tau,\nu}} \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v}_{2}$$

Recall: Must treat **s** as **<u>Unknown</u>**!

Parameters to Estimate: $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}\{\mathbf{s}\} & \operatorname{Im}\{\mathbf{s}\} & \underbrace{a \quad \phi \quad \tau \quad v}_{\triangleq \gamma} \end{bmatrix}$ Data Vector (Gaussian): $\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1^T & \mathbf{r}_2^T \end{bmatrix}^T$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \triangleq E\left\{\mathbf{r}\right\} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{s}\\ ae^{j\phi}\mathbf{D}_{\nu}\left[\mathbf{F}^{H}\mathbf{D}_{\tau}\mathbf{F}\right]\mathbf{s}\end{bmatrix}$$

General Gaussian FIM elements:

$$[\mathbf{J}_{\theta}]_{ij} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \end{bmatrix}^{H} \mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_{j}} \end{bmatrix} \right) + \left(\operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_{j}} \right) \right)$$
This term Is zero! Easy Inversion! Next

A. Yeredor & E. Angel

Correct CRLB for RF Signals

$$\mathbf{r}_{1} = \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v}_{1}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{2} = ae^{j\phi} \underbrace{\mathbf{D}_{\nu} \left[\mathbf{F}^{H} \mathbf{D}_{\tau} \mathbf{F} \right]}_{\triangleq \mathbf{Q}_{\tau,\nu}} \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v}_{2}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\theta} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right]^{H} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right] \right)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \left[\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \mathbf{s} \right\} \quad \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \mathbf{s} \right\} \quad \underbrace{a \quad \boldsymbol{\phi} \quad \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad \boldsymbol{\nu}}_{\triangleq \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \right]$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{2}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 + \eta a^{2}\right)\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \eta a \operatorname{Re}\{\mathbf{B}\} \\ \mathbf{0} & \left(1 + \eta a^{2}\right)\mathbf{I} & \eta a \operatorname{Im}\{\mathbf{B}\} \\ \eta a \operatorname{Re}\{\mathbf{B}^{H}\} & -\eta a \operatorname{Im}\{\mathbf{B}^{H}\} & \eta \operatorname{Re}\{\mathbf{G}^{H}\mathbf{G}\} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\eta \triangleq \frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}} \quad \mathbf{G} \triangleq \frac{\partial a e^{j\phi}\mathbf{Q}_{\tau,\nu}\mathbf{s}}{\partial \gamma} \quad \mathbf{B} \triangleq e^{-j\phi}Q_{\tau,\nu}^{H}\mathbf{G} \\ \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & \phi & \tau & \nu \end{bmatrix}$$

Now could get the CRLB matrix for full parameter vector:

$$\mathbf{CRLB}_{\mathbf{\theta}} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{\theta}}^{-1}$$

But we really only want w.r.t. γ



 $\underline{\text{Correct CRLB for RF Signals}} \xrightarrow{\text{A. Yeredor & E. Angel}} \\ \text{Define: } \mathbf{CRLB}_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{\text{Re}\{s\},\text{Im}\{s\}}^{-1} & ?? \\ ?? & \mathbf{J}_{\gamma}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{A. Yeredor & E. Angel}} \mathbf{CRLB}_{\gamma} = \mathbf{J}_{\gamma}^{-1} \\ \end{array}$

Then.. use a "math trick" called "Schur Complement" we get

$$\mathbf{J}_{\gamma} = \frac{2}{a^2 \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[\mathbf{G}^H \mathbf{G} \right]$$

Evaluating the elements in $\mathbf{G}^{H}\mathbf{G}$ leads to noting this form:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{a} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{J}_{\phi,\tau,\nu} \end{bmatrix}$$
 Amplitude parameters virtually always decouple like this!
So... really only need this!



Correct CRLB for RF Signals

$$\mathbf{r}_{1} = \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v}_{1}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{2} = ae^{j\phi} \underbrace{\mathbf{D}_{\nu} \left[\mathbf{F}^{H} \mathbf{D}_{\tau} \mathbf{F}\right]}_{\triangleq \mathbf{Q}_{\tau,\nu}} \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v}_{2}$$

The final result for the FIM of interest is:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\phi,\tau,\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}^{H}\mathbf{s} & -\mathbf{s}^{H}\mathbf{s}' & \tilde{\mathbf{s}}^{H}\mathbf{N}\tilde{\mathbf{s}} \\ -\mathbf{s}^{H}\mathbf{s}' & \mathbf{s}'^{H}\mathbf{s}' & -\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathbf{s}'^{H}\mathbf{Q}_{\tau,\nu}^{H}\mathbf{N}\tilde{\mathbf{s}}\right\} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}}^{H}\mathbf{N}\tilde{\mathbf{s}} & -\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathbf{s}'^{H}\mathbf{Q}_{\tau,\nu}^{H}\mathbf{N}\tilde{\mathbf{s}}\right\} & \tilde{\mathbf{s}}^{H}\mathbf{N}^{2}\tilde{\mathbf{s}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{\tilde{s}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\tau,\nu} \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{D}_{\nu} \left[\mathbf{F}^{H} \mathbf{D}_{\tau} \mathbf{F} \right] \mathbf{s}$$
$$\mathbf{s}' = \frac{2\pi}{N} \mathbf{F}^{H} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{s}$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \exp\left(-j\frac{2\pi}{N} \cdot \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^{T}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\tau} = diag \left\{ \exp\left(-j\frac{2\pi}{N} \cdot \mathbf{n} \cdot \tau\right) \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\nu} = diag \left\{ \exp\left(-j \cdot \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}\right) \right\}$$
$$\mathbf{N} = diag \left\{ \mathbf{n} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{n} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} + 1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{N}{2} - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So... use all these boxes to compute this J then invert it to get the CRLB!



A. Yeredor & E. Angel

Correct CRLB for RF Signals

We can interpret some of these FIM terms:



EnergyLike RMS BW TermLike RMS Duration Term

$$B_{rms}^{2} = \frac{\int f^{2} |S(f)|^{2} df}{\int |S(f)|^{2} df}$$

$$T_{rms}^{2} = \frac{\int t^{2} |s(t)|^{2} dt}{\int |s(t)|^{2} dt}$$



A. Yeredor & E. Angel

Correct CRLB for RF Signals





MLE: Sonar vs. Radar/Comm

M. Fowler X. Hu, "Signal Models for TDOA/FDOA Estimation," *IEEE T. AES*, Oct. 2008.

• For general Gaussian case set $\partial \ln \{p_{gg}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{\theta})\} / \partial \theta_i = 0$

$$-\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mathbf{C}_{\theta}}{\partial\theta_{i}}\right) + \left[\mathbf{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\right]^{H}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mathbf{C}_{\theta}}{\partial\theta_{i}}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\right] + 2\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\mathbf{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\right]^{H}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}}{\partial\theta_{i}}\right\} = 0$$

Covariance Sensitivity
Mean Sensitivity

Passive Sonar

- Derived by Weinstein, Wax
- Showed trace term = 0

- Passive Radar/Comm
 - Derived by Stein

$$\mathbf{r}^{H}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mathbf{C}_{\theta}}{\partial\theta_{i}}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1}\mathbf{r} = 0 \qquad 2\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{s}_{\theta}\right]^{H}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mathbf{s}_{\theta}}{\partial\theta_{i}}\right\} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{\theta}}_{ML,ac} = \operatorname{arg\,max}_{\theta}\left\{-\mathbf{r}^{H}\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{-1}\mathbf{r}\right\} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{\theta}}_{ML,em} = \operatorname{arg\,max}_{\theta}\left\{2\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathbf{r}^{H}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{s}_{\theta}\right\} - \mathbf{s}_{\theta}^{H}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{s}_{\theta}\right\}$$

Seem Very Different... but not as much as you'd think

Nex

MLE: Sonar vs. Radar/Comm

M. Fowler X. Hu, "Signal Models for TDOA/FDOA Estimation," *IEEE T. AES*, Oct. 2008.

• <u>Both</u> lead to cross-correlation with pre-filtering



Passive Sonar

- Pre-Filters depend on interplay between Noise PSD & Signal PSD
- Becomes Std Cross-Correlator when Noise <u>and</u> Signal are white

Passive Radar/Comm

- Pre-Filters depend only on Noise
 PSD, not on signal structure
- Becomes Std Cross-Correlator when <u>Noise</u> is white... regardless of signal



ML Estimator for TDOA/FDOA

S. Stein, "Differential Delay/Doppler ML Estimation with Unknown Signals," *IEEE Trans. on SP*, 1993.

Two received CT signals in ELPS form (complex) observed over (0,T):

 $y_{1}(t) = x(t) + n_{1}(t) \qquad x(t) \text{ itself is unknown!}$ (1a) $y_{2}(t) = \alpha x(t + \tau) \exp \left[j2\pi v(t + \tau) \right] + n_{2}(t).$ (1b) Parameters: Complex Amplitude Delay Doppler Delay The signal x(t) has bandwidth of B Hz The time-BW product is assumed large: BT >>1



BT >> 1 yileds a common trick: analysis in freq domain is easier.

CTFT View:
$$Y_1(f) = X(f) + N_1(f)$$
 (2a)
 $Y_2(f) = \alpha X(f - \nu) \exp(j2\pi f\tau) + N_2(f).$ (2b)

Now convert this into a DFT view for the DT problem



Covariances: $\mathbf{C}_i \triangleq \operatorname{diag} \{P_{i0}, P_{i1}, \dots, P_{iN-1}\}, \quad i = 1, 2$

Because of the independence (due to the DFT trick) it is easy to write the PDF of the two observed signals' vectors

$$p(Y_{1}, Y_{2} | X, \tau, \nu, \alpha) = C \exp(-L_{1}/2)$$
(4a)
Where:

$$C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|P_{1}||P_{2}|}} P_{i} = \prod_{m} P_{im} \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (4b)$$

$$L_{1} = \sum_{m} \left[\frac{|Y_{1m} - X_{m}|^{2}}{P_{1m}} + \frac{|Y_{2m} - \alpha X_{m-F}W^{m}|^{2}}{P_{2m}} \right] \quad (4c)$$
Re-write L_{1} :

$$L_{1} = \sum_{m} \left[\frac{|Y_{1m}|^{2}}{P_{1m}} + \frac{|Y_{2,m+F}|^{2}}{P_{2,m+F}} \right] \qquad \text{No Parm or Signal in here!}$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \frac{1}{P_{m}} \left| X_{m} - P_{m} \left[\frac{Y_{im}}{P_{1m}} + \alpha^{*}(W^{*})^{m+F} \frac{Y_{2,m+F}}{P_{2,m+F}} \right]^{2} \qquad \text{Other Parms}$$
only in here!

$$+ \sum_{m} P_{m} \left| \frac{Y_{1m}}{P_{1m}} + \alpha^{*}(W^{*})^{m+F} \frac{Y_{2,m+F}}{P_{2,m+F}} \right|^{2} \qquad \text{Other Parms}$$

$$\frac{1}{P_{m}} = \frac{1}{P_{1m}} + \frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{P_{2,m+F}} \qquad + \sum_{m} P_{m} \left| \frac{Y_{1m}}{P_{1m}} + \alpha^{*}(W^{*})^{m+F} \frac{Y_{2,m+F}}{P_{2,m+F}} \right|^{2} \qquad \text{Other Parms}$$

$$\frac{1}{28/2}$$

Remember we need to estimate the signal DFT X too! It only shows up in second term in L_1 :

$$\sum_{m} \frac{1}{P_{m}} \left| X_{m} - P_{m} \left[\frac{Y_{im}}{P_{1m}} + \alpha^{*} (W^{*})^{m+F} \frac{Y_{2,m+F}}{P_{2,m+F}} \right]^{2} \right|^{2}$$

This is minimized (to 0) by choosing the signal estimate to be

$$\hat{X}_{m} = P_{m} \left[\frac{Y_{1m}}{P_{1m}} + \alpha^{*} (W^{*})^{m+F} \frac{Y_{2,m+F}}{P_{2,m+F}} \right].$$
(8)

"Undo" Doppler and delay to align!

When we plug into (8) the ML estimates for delay, Doppler, amplitude we get a signal estimate

So...

- First term of L_1 is not needed
- Second term of L_1 led to signal estimate
- Third term of $L_1 \dots$ look at now!



Third term of $L_1 \dots$ look at now!

$$\sum_{m} P_{m} \left| \frac{Y_{1m}}{P_{1m}} + \alpha^{*} (W^{*})^{m+F} \frac{Y_{2,m+F}}{P_{2,m+F}} \right|^{2}.$$

$$= \sum_{m} \left\{ \left[\frac{N_{1m}|^2}{P_{1m}} + |\alpha|^2 \frac{|Y_{2,m+F}|^2}{P_{2,m+F}} \right] / \left[\frac{1}{P_{1m}} + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{P_{2,m+F}} \right] \right\}$$

where:
$$= \sum_{m} \frac{(W^*)^{m+F} Y_{2,m+F} Y_{1m}^*}{P_{2,m+F} + |\alpha|^2 P_{1m}}.$$

It follows that we need to maximize |K|... equivalent to maxmizing

$$= \frac{1}{T} \left| \int \frac{\exp(-j2\pi f\tau) Y_1^*(f-\nu) Y_2(f)}{P_2(f) + |\alpha|^2 P_1(f-\nu)} df \right|.$$

For white noise the denominator is constant and this becomes

$$R(\tau, \nu) = \left|\frac{1}{T}\int \exp\left(-j2\pi f\tau\right)Y_1^*(f-\nu)Y_2(f) df\right|.$$



ML Estimator for TDOA/FDOA

S. Stein, "Differential Delay/Doppler ML Estimation with Unknown Signals," *IEEE Trans. on SP*, 1993.



COMPUTING THE AMBIGUITY FUNCTION

Direct computation based on the equation for the ambiguity function leads to computationally inefficient methods.

In Prof. Fowler's EECE 521 notes it is shown how to use decimation to efficiently compute the ambiguity function



Stage 2: Estimating Geo-Location



TDOA/FDOA LOCATION



- "P-Choose-2" Pairs
- ➤ "P-Choose-2" TDOA Measurements
- ➤ "P-Choose-2" FDOA Measurements
- <u>Warning</u>: Watch out for Correlation Effect Due to Signal-Data-In-Common



TDOA/FDOA LOCATION

Pair-Wise Network of P

- P/2 Pairs
- > P/2 TDOA Measurements
- > P/2 FDOA Measurements
- Many ways to select P/2 pairs
- <u>Warning</u>: Not all pairings are equally good!!! The Dashed Pairs are Better

 e_{2}

TDOA/FDOA Measurement Model

Given N TDOA/FDOA measurements with corresponding 2×2 Cov. Matrices

$$(\hat{\tau}_1, \hat{\nu}_1), (\hat{\tau}_2, \hat{\nu}_2), \dots, (\hat{\tau}_N, \hat{\nu}_N)$$

 $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2, \dots, \mathbf{C}_N$
Assume pair-wise network, so...
TDOA/FDOA pairs are uncorrelated

For notational purposes... define the 2N measurements r(n) n = 1, 2, ..., 2N



Now, those are the TDOA/FDOA estimates... so the true values are notated as:

$$(\tau_1, \nu_1), (\tau_2, \nu_2), \dots, (\tau_N, \nu_N)$$

 $s_{2n-1} = \tau_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N$
 $s_{2n} = \nu_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N$
"Signal" Vector

TDOA/FDOA Measurement Model (cont.)

Each of these measurements r(n) has an error $\varepsilon(n)$ associated with it, so...

$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{s} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

Because these measurements were estimated using an ML estimator (with sufficiently large number of signal samples) we know that error vector ε is a <u>zero-mean Gaussian</u> vector with cov. matrix C given by:

$$\mathbf{C} = \operatorname{diag}\{\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2, \dots, \mathbf{C}_N\} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C}_N \end{bmatrix}$$
Assumes that TDOA/FDOA pairs are uncorrelated!!!

The true TDOA/FDOA values depend on:

Emitter Parms: (x_e, y_e, z_e) and transmit frequency $f_e = [x_e, y_e, z_e, f_e]^T$ Receivers' Nav Data (positions & velocities): The totality of it called x_r

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}_e; \mathbf{x}_r) + \mathbf{\epsilon}$ • Deterministic "Signal" + Gaussian Noise • "Signal" is nonlinearly related to parms

To complete the model... we need to know how $s(x_e;x_r)$ depends on x_e and x_r . Thus we need to find TDOA & FDOA as functions of x_e and x_r

TDOA/FDOA Measurement Model (cont.)

Here we'll simplify to the x-y plane... extension is straight-forward.

<u>Two Receivers</u> with: (x_1, y_1, Vx_1, Vy_1) and (x_2, y_2, Vx_2, Vy_2) <u>Emitter</u> with: (x_e, y_e)

(Let R_i be the range between Receiver *i* and the emitter; *c* is the speed of light.)

The TDOA and FDOA are given by:

$$s_{1}(x_{e}, y_{e}) = \tau_{12} = \frac{R_{1} - R_{2}}{c}$$

$$= \frac{1}{c} \left(\sqrt{(x_{1} - x_{e})^{2} + (y_{1} - y_{e})^{2}} - \sqrt{(x_{2} - x_{e})^{2} + (y_{2} - y_{e})^{2}} \right)$$

$$e(x_{e}, y_{e}, f_{e}) = v_{12} = \frac{f_{e}}{c} \frac{d}{dt} (R_{1} - R_{2})$$

$$= \frac{f_{e}}{c} \left[\frac{(x_{1} - x_{e})Vx_{1} + (y_{1} - y_{e})Vy_{1}}{\sqrt{(x_{1} - x_{e})^{2} + (y_{1} - y_{e})^{2}}} - \frac{(x_{2} - x_{e})Vx_{2} + (y_{2} - y_{e})Vy_{2}}{\sqrt{(x_{2} - x_{e})^{2} + (y_{2} - y_{e})^{2}}} \right]$$
Next

38/42

CRLB for Geo-Location via TDOA/FDOA

<u>Recall</u>: For the General Gaussian Data case the CRLB depends on a FIM that has structure like this:



Here we have a <u>deterministic</u> "signal" plus Gaussian noise so we only have the 1st term... Using the notation introduced here gives...



CRLB for Geo-Loc. via TDOA/FDOA (cont.) TDOA/FDOA Jacobian:



Jacobian can be computed for any desired Rx-Emitter Scenario Then... plug it into (\star) to compute the CRLB for that scenario:

$$\mathbf{C}_{CRLB}(\mathbf{x}_{e}) = \left[\mathbf{H}^{T}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\right]^{-1}$$



CRLB for Geo-Loc. via TDOA/FDOA (cont.)

Geometry and TDOA vs. FDOA Trade-Offs





Estimator for Geo-Location via TDOA/FDOA

Because we have used the ML estimator to get the TDOA/FDOA estimates the ML's asymptotic properties tell us that we have <u>Gaussian</u> TDOA/FDOA measurements

Because the TDOA/FDOA measurement model is nonlinear it is unlikely that we can find a truly optimal estimate... so we again resort to the ML. For the ML of a <u>Nonlinear</u> Signal in Gaussian we generally have to proceed <u>numerically</u>.

One way to do <u>Numerical</u> MLE is <u>ML Newton-Raphson</u> (need vector version):

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{k+1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_k - \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^T} \end{array} \right\}^{-1} \frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ \theta = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_k \\ \theta = \hat{\boldsymbol$